

# CRACK PROPAGATION IN ALUMINUM ALLOY SHEET MATERIALS UNDER FLIGHT SIMULATION LOADING

J. SCHIJVE, F. A. JACOBS AND P. J. TROMP

NATIONAL AEROSPACE LABORATORY, NLR

AMSTERDAM

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.



#### FOREWORD

This report, prepared by the National Aerospace Laboratory,
NLR, Amsterdam, Metherlands, covers the work performed under Air
Force Contract F61052 67 C 0076, "Crack Propagation in Aluminum
Alloy Sheet Materials Under Flight Simulation Loading". The
program was administered under the direction of the Air Force
Flight Dynamics Laboratory by Mr. William R. Johnston, Experimental
Branch (FDTT), Structures Division, Project Engineer.

The work covered by this report was performed during the period from February 1967 to December 1968.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

ROBERT L. CAVANAGH

Chief, Experimental Branch

Structures Division

AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Robertlavana (



#### ABSTRACT

A large number of flight-simulation tests were carried out on sheet specimens of 7075-T6 and 2024-T3 clad material. A gust load spectrum was adopted and a flight-by-flight loading was applied. The investigation is essentially concerned with macro-crack propagation although a few exploratory tests were conducted on the crack nucleation period. The major trends emerging from tests with a variety of loading programs are:

- (1) The omission of taxing loads from the ground to air cycles did not affect the crack propagation.
- (2) The sequence of the gust cycles in a flight (random, programmed, reversed gust cycles) did not have a significant influence on the crack propagation.
- (3) Omission of gust cycles with small amplitudes systematically increased the crack propagation life.
- (4) The most predominant effect on the crack propagation was coming from the maximum gust amplitude included in the test. Increasing this amplitude gave a large increase of the crack propagation life.
- (5) Application in each flight of a single gust load only, namely the largest upward gust load, increased the crack propagation life three times.
- (6) Omission of the ground-to-air cycle increased the life 1.5-1.8 times. The discussion and the analysis of the results include such aspects as fractographic analysis, possible mechanisms for interaction effects between load cycles of different magnitudes and damage calculations. The conclusions at the end of the report have a number of implications for testing procedures to be applied in full-scale testing aiming at crack propagation data for fail-safe considerations. A recommendation is made for selecting the maximum load level in such a test. Recommendations for further study are also made.

# Contrails



List of abbreviations and symbols iv List of illustrations v List of tables vi  1. Introduction 1 2. Survey and scope of the test series 2 3. Exterials and specimens 4 4. Experimental procedures 4 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5 4.3 The crack propagation tests 6 5. The fatigue loads 6 5.1 The gust loads 6 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxing loads 8 6. Test results 6 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 8 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
List of tables vi  List of tables vi  1. Introduction 1 2. Survey and scope of the test series 2 3. Katerials and specimens 4 4. Experimental procedures 4 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5 4.3 The crack propagation tests 6 5. The fatigue loads 6 5.1 The gust loads 6 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads 8 6. Test results 6 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 8 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (CTAC) 15 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
List of tables vi  1. Introduction 1 2. Survey and scope of the test series 2 3. Katerials and specimens 4 4. Experimental procedures 4 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5 4.3 The crack propagation tests 6 5. The fatigue loads 6 5.1 The gust loads 6 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads 8 6. Test results 8 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 8 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 15 7.4 Omission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
1. Introduction 2. Survey and scope of the test series 3. Katerials and specimens 4. Experimental procedures 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5. The fatigue loads 5.1 The gust loads 5.1 The gust loads 6. Test results 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
2. Survey and scope of the test series 2 3. Materials and specimens 4 4. Experimental procedures 4 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5 4.3 The crack propagation tests 6 5. The fatigue loads 6 5.1 The gust loads 6 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads 8 6. Test results 6 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 8 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
2. Survey and scope of the test series 2 3. Materials and specimens 4 4. Experimental procedures 4 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5 4.3 The crack propagation tests 6 5. The fatigue loads 6 5.1 The gust loads 6 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads 8 6. Test results 6 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 8 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
3. Materials and specimens 4 4. Experimental procedures 4 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5 4.3 The crack propagation tests 6 5. The fatigue loads 6 5.1 The gust loads 6 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads 8 6. Test results 8 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 8 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
4. Experimental procedures 4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5.4.3 The crack propagation tests 6. The fatigue loads 5.1 The gust loads 6.2 The ground to-air cycles and the taxiing loads 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the CTAC 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
4.1 The anti-buckling guides 4.2 The fatigue apparatus 5 4.3 The crack propagation tests 6 5. The fatigue loads 5.1 The gust loads 6 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads 6. Test results 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (CTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
4.2 The fatigue apparatus  4.3 The crack propagation tests  6  5. The fatigue loads  5.1 The gust loads  6  5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads  6. Test results  6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests  6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations  6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole  6.4 Some fractographic observations  7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature  7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes  7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (CTAC)  7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC  7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads  7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude  18
4.3 The crack propagation tests  5. The fatigue loads  5.1 The gust loads  6.2 The ground to air cycles and the taxiing loads  6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests  6.2 Results of the constant amplitude tests and damage calculations  6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole  6.4 Some fractographic observations  7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature  7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes  7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC)  7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC  7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads  7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude  18
5. The fatigue loads 5.1 The gust loads 6.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxing loads 6. Test results 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 6.4 Some fractographic observations 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 7.2 The omission of the taxing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 7.4 Omission of the small gust loads 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
5.1 The gust loads 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxing loads 6. Test results 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 15 7.4 Omission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
5.2 The ground to-air cycles and the taxiing loads  6. Test results  6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests  6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations  6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole  6.4 Some fractographic observations  7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature  7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes  7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC)  7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC  7.4 Omission of the small gust loads  7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude  18
6. Test results 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests 6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 9 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 10 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 15 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
6.2 Results of the constant-amplitude tests and damage calculations 6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
6.3 Results of the tests on specimens with a central hole 6.4 Some fractographic observations 11 7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 15 7.4 Omission of the small gust loads 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
6.4 Some fractographic observations  7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature  13  7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes  13  7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC)  7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC  7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads  7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude  18
7. Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature 13 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes 13 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC 15 7.4 Omission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
literature  7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes  13  7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC)  7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC  15  7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads  16  7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude  18
7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC)  7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC  15  7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads  16  7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude  18
cycle (GTAC)  7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC  15  7.4 Cmission of the small gust loads  16  7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude  18
7.4 Omission of the small gust loads 16 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude 18
7.6 Random or programmed gust sequences in each flight and reversion of the gust cycle
7.7 Application of a single gust load per flight 21
7.8 Omission of the GTAC 21
7.9 Comparison between the two alloys, 7075 and 2024 22
7.10 Damage calculations 22
8. Discussion 23
8.1 Recommendation for the maximum load in a flight-simulation test 23
8.2 Alternatives to flight-simulation 24
8.3 Suggestions for further work 25



	Page
9. Conclusions	26
10. List of references	28
19 tables	
19 figures	

Contrails

# List of Illustrations.

- Fig. 1 Survey of variables studied in the present test series.
- Fig. 2 The load sequence in the most severe flight (type A).
- Fig. 3 Load records of flight No. 19 (Type F) for different types of flight simulation.
- Fig. 4 Dimensions of the specimen and anti-buckling guides.
- Fig. 5 Picture of the specimen, anti-buckling guides with window and clampings.

  Stereo-microscope (30x) for crack observation in the background.
- Fig. 6 Two specimens connected by a double strap joint, anti-buckling guides covered by felt at the inner side and provided with two windows each.
- Fig. 7 Example of two crack propagation curves for two specimens simultaneously tested in series.
- Fig. 8 Effect of truncation (S<sub>a,max</sub>) on the crack propagation rate material 7075-T6.
- Fig. 8 continued. Material 2024-T3.
- Fig. 9 Effect of omitting small gust loads on the crack propagation rate material 7075-T6.
- Fig. 9 continued. Material 2024-T3.
- Fig.10 The effects of omitting the GTAC and of reversing the gust cycles on the crack propagation rate.
- Fig.11 Comparison between the crack rates for random and programmed flight simulation.
- Fig.12 Comparison between the crack rates in the two alloys. Effect of  $S_{a,max}$  and  $S_{a,min}$ , see also fig. 11. Random gusts, GTAC without TL,  $S_{min}$  =-3.4.
- Fig.13 The effect of omitting small gust loads on the crack propagation life.
- Fig.14 The effect of truncating the gust load spectrum on the crack propagation life.
- Fig. 15 The constant-amplitude test data plotted as S-N curves.
- Fig.16 Crack propagation curves for the 2024-T3 specimens with a central hole Effect of truncation level ( $S_{a,max}$ ) on the crack-nucleation period (to  $\ell$  2 mm) and the crack propagation life.



- Fig. 17 Comparison between the crack propagation rates in specimens with a small notch or a central hole.
- Fig. 18 Fracture surfaces of 4 specimens showing macro fatigue bands.
- Fig. 19 Two examples of fatigue striations as observed by the electron microscope.

#### List of Tables.

- Table 1 Survey of the test parameters in the various test series.
- Table 2 Survey of the flight-simulation tests on sheet specimens with a central hole.
- Table 3 Survey of the constant-amplitude tests.
- Table 4 Static properties of the materials.
- Table 5 Gust load occurrences in the 10 different types of flights.
- Table 6 Diagrammatic picture of the sequence of the various flights in 5000 flights.
- Table 7 Crack propagation records of the flight-simulation tests. Values of  $\Delta n$  in numbers of flights.
- Table 8 Crack propagation records of the additional flight-simulation tests. Values of An in numbers of flights.
- Table 9 Crack propagation records of the constant-amplitude tests. Values of Δn in cycles.
- Table 10 Crack propagation records for the 2024-T3 specimens with a central hole.
- Table 11 Effect of taxiing loads.
- Table 12 Effect of the minimum stress in the GTAC.
- Table 13 Effect of omitting small gust loads.
- Table 14 Effect of truncating the gust spectrum.



- Table 15 Comparison between the random and the programmed flight simulation tests.
- Table 16 Effects of reversing the gust cycles, of applying one gust per flight and of omitting the CTAC.
- Table 17 Comparison between the two alloys.
- Table 18 Damage calculations for test series No. 45.
- Table 19 Fatigue life reduction if small gust cycles are included.

Contrails

```
List of Abbreviations and Symbols
```

```
(in the literature sometimes: GAC = ground_air-
CTAC
          ground to air cycle
         ground transition)
          taxiing loads
Crack propagation life: number of flights for crack growth from \ell = 10 mm to
          complete failure of the specimen.
          semi crack length, see fig. 4
          number of flights (or cycles)
d\ell/dn
          crack propagation rate
          number of flights (or cycles) to cover the crack growth interval
Δn
          from \ell_i to \ell_{i+1}
          crack propagation life, or fatigue life
          stress amplitude
          mean stress
                                                gross stress
          minimum stress
                                               (in kg/mm<sup>2</sup> if not specified otherwise)
          maximum stress
          minimum S of the gust cycles
Sa, min
          maximum S_a of the gust cycles
Sa, max
        = 10^{-3} meter = 0.04 inch; 1 inch = 25.4 mm
1 \text{ kg/mm}^2 \approx 1,422 \text{ psi}; 1000 \text{ psi} = 0.703 \text{ kg/mm}^2
1 kc = 1 kilocycle = 1000 cycles
1 \mu/fl. = crack rate of 1 micron (10<sup>-6</sup> meter) per flight
```



### 1 Introduction.

Full-scale fatigue testing at the present time is generally accepted as a useful procedure, if not the only one, for evaluating the fatigue qualities of an aircraft structure. Major goals to be achieved are:

- (a) Indication of structural deficiencies, fatigue critical elements.
- (b) Determination of fatigue lives until visible cracking occurs.
- (c) Determination of crack propagation rates in view of inspections.
- (d) Evaluation of inspection procedures.
- (e) Measurements on residual strength.

In order to obtain realistic data on (b) and (c) it will be clear that the fatigue loads to be applied in a full scale test should be a realistic representation of the load time history in service. This problem was extensively discussed in ref.1, which was the Final Report of a preceding investigation. It was concluded in this report that the load sequence should have the character of a flight by flight simulation. This conclusion still leaves various questions to be answered, such as:

- (1) The sequence of loads within each flight, should it be a random sequence or could a programmed sequence be allowed? A fully randomized sequence and a programmed sequence are thought to be the most extreme possibilities.
- (2) What is the maximum load to be applied in the test (truncation of load spectrum)?
- (3) Could small load fluctuations be omitted from the test in view of time saving?

  These three questions were also extensively discussed in ref.1 and certain recommendations were made. Nevertheless it had to be admitted that more empirical data was urgently desirable.

The present investigation deals with fatigue crack propagation tests on sheet specimens of two aluminum alloys (2024 and 7075). Load sequences were selected in such a way as to shed some further light on the three questions mentioned above. In addition test series were carried out to study the damaging effect of ground-to-air cycles, the effect of reversing the order of positive and negative gusts and the effect of applying only the most severe gust load in each flight. Some constant-amplitude tests were made for damage calculations. A survey of all test series is given in the following chapter.



It should be pointed out that the present test series involves the propagation of visible cracks only. It is thought that the results will be helpful in planning fatigue tests with flight simulation loading on full-scale structures or components, especially if crack propagation has to be studied (fail-safe structures). This report gives a full description of the experiments and the results obtained. The analysis of the data (chapter 7) includes a discussion of related test programs reported in the literature. The report is completed by a general discussion and a number of conclusions.

#### 2 Survey and scope of the test series.

A gust load spectrum was approximated by a stepped function as indicated in fig.1. This spectrum was subsequently broken down into 10 different types of flight (A-K), each characterized by its own load spectrum, varying from "good weather" conditions to "storm" conditions (see chapter 5). The sequence of the various types of flights in the tests was random, while the gusts in each flight were also applied in a random order. A schematic picture of a flight is shown in fig.1 and a load record of the severest flight is presented in fig.2. Each gust cycle consisted of an upward gust load immediately followed by a downward gust load of the same magnitude, the mean stress being 7.0 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (10.0 ksi). Taxiing loads applied in the ground-to-air cycle (GTAC) or air-ground-air transition had a constant amplitude ( $S_a = 1.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ) and the number of these cycles per GTAC was 20.

As outlined in the introduction, the main purpose of the present investigation was a comparative study of several load sequences to be adopted for flight-simulation testing. A summary of the variables studied in the present test program is given in the table in fig.1 and a survey of the test parameters is presented in table 1.

<u>a</u> Truncation of the gust load spectrum. Extremely high gust loads are very rare. Unfortunately they may have a large effect on crack propagation and since one can not be sure that all aircraft of a fleet will meet the same high gust loads it is a delicate issue to assess the maximum load to be applied in a flight simulation test (ref.1). In view of this problem comparative tests were carried out with the maximum gust load level (truncation of load spectrum, see fig.1) as a variable.



- b Omission of small gust loads. The omission of small gust load cycles in a flight simulation test would save a considerable amount of time since these cycles are relatively numerous, see fig. 3. Since these cycles may still contribute to crack growth comparative tests were made with and without the smallest gust cycles.
- $\underline{c}$   $\underline{S}_{\min}$  in the GTAC (ground-to-air cycle). In some exploratory tests  $\underline{S}_{\min}$  in the GTAC was 1.4 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> whereas in the major part of the investigation a value of 3.4 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> was adopted. This allows a limited comparison to be made.
- d Taxiing loads. Taxiing loads (TL) are superimposed on the GTAC. For a wing structure they are thought to be relatively unimportant for the fatigue life, except for decreasing the minimum stress level in the GTAC (ref. 1). Comparative tests were made to explore this question, since the omission of the taxiing loads implies again an appreciable time saving. Since the present test program confirmed the negligible damage contribution of the taxiing loads these loads were omitted in various test series of the program when studying other variables (see fig. 3).
- e Omission of the GTAC. Two test series were carried out without ground-to-air cycles in order to estimate the damaging effect of the GTAC.
- <u>f</u> One gust cycle per flight. Flight-simulation tests were carried out with only the largest positive gust load of each flight being applied. It implies that in each flight all smaller gust cycles are omitted except for the positive half of the largest one, see fig. 3. This simplification, implying a further time saving, was based on the idea (ref. 2) that the highest (and the lowest) stress level in a flight will have a predominant effect on the fatigue damage contribution of the flight.
- g Reversed random sequence. In the present tests a positive gust load was always followed by a negative gust load of equal magnitude since this was thought to be just slightly conservative (ref.3). The other extreme is that each positive gust load is preceded by a negative one of equal magnitude. In view of a possible influence two test series were carried out with the sequence of each gust cycle in this reversed sequence, see fig. 3.
- h Programmed sequences. Several test series were carried out with programmed gust load sequences, that means that within each flight the gust load cycles were applied in an increasing-decreasing order of amplitudes, see fig. 3. The sequence of the flights, however, remained unchanged. Such a programmed flight simulation may give indications on the importance of load sequences within a flight.



Materials. Apart from the exploratory tests almost all load sequences were applied to both 7075-T6 and 2024-T3 specimens. This allows a comparison of the two alloys and in addition it may show whether certain influences are more important for one material than for the other.

A small number of tests were carried out on sheet specimens with a central hole instead of a sharp notch. The aim of these tests was to see whether the significant effect of truncation as found for crack propagation also applies to crack nucleation. These tests on specimens of 2024-T3 material, see table 2, were of an exploratory nature only.

After the completion of the flight-simulation tests, a small number of specimens was still left. These specimens have been used for constant-amplitude tests. The results allow some damage calculations to be made. A survey of these tests is given in table 3.

#### 3 Materials and specimens.

Specimens were cut from 2024-T3 Alclad and 7075-T6 Clad sheet materials. The nominal thickness of the sheets was 2 mm (0.08 inch). The material properties as determined on tensile specimens cut in the longitudinal and transverse direction from the sheets are given in table 4. The results are considered as being typical for these alloys.

The specimens were cut to a width of 160 mm and a length of 235 mm. The free length between the clampings was 160 mm, that is equal to the specimen width, see figure 4. A sharp central notch was made by drilling a small hole and making two short saw cuts at both sides of the hole. The specimens were subsequently precracked to a crack length  $\ell_{\infty}$  10 mm (0.4 in) by cycling between  $S_{\max} = 10 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  and  $S_{\min} = 0 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ . Since the stresses in the flight-simulation tests are beyond these values it was thought that an effect of precracking on subsequent crack growth should be negligible.

#### 4 Experimental procedures.

#### 4.1 The anti-buckling guides.

In order to prevent buckling of the specimens two aluminum alloy plates were



used as anti buckling guides, see fig. 4 and the picture in fig. 5. At the inner side felt was bonded to the plates to minimize the friction between the specimens and the guide plates. Each plate was provided with a window for observation of the crack growth.

The bolts connecting the two plates were hand tightened. The NLR had previously used such a device for riveted joints. Nevertheless it was checked by strain gages whether no load was transmitted through the plates. At the same time these measurements were used to check the stress distribution in the sheet specimen. A dummy specimen without central notch and cracks was provided with three strain gages at each side of the specimen, located at the two ends and the centre of the windows. It turned out that no load transmission through the guide plates could be indicated, provided the bolts were loosely tightened. Noreover sheet bending was practically absent and the stress distribution was satisfactory. Differences between dynamic and static strain readings were in the order of 1  $^{\circ}$ /o or less. The measurements covered the stress ranges to be applied in the fatigue tests.

After the first preliminary tests were carried out it became desirable to speed up the test program by testing two specimens in series. The specimens are interconnected by two relatively heavy strap plates of steel and a single row of bolts in each specimen. A rigid clamping had to be made since the clamping in the machine itself is also a rigid one. Fig. 6 shows the various parts involved. The anti-buckling guides had to be made larger in order to cover both specimens. Tests were continued until one of the two specimens fractured completely. Since the scatter of the crack rate was low crack growth in the second specimen covered a large part of the cross section.

#### 4.2 The fatigue apparatus.

The specimens are loaded in an MTS fatigue machine, type 901.55, maximum dynamic capacity 25 tons. In this hydraulic machine the load control occurs by an electro-hydraulic servo valve in a closed circuit feed back system. The valve is fed by an electric signal representing the required fatigue load. This signal is generated by a piece of apparatus, called PAGE (Programmed Amplitude GEnerator) developed at the MLR. It employs the function generator of the MTS-machine for producing half sine wave functions. PAGE allows any sequence of half sine waves with different amplitudes to be selected as well as a shift between two selected mean values of the cyclic load. The latter is required in view of the GTAC (ground-to-air cycle). The sequence of amplitudes and the selection of the corresponding mean load is punched into a binary digit tape. A Creed model 92 tape



reader is part of the PAGE apparatus. It further includes a patch board on which the cycling frequency can be set separately for each amplitude. In general a lower frequency will be selected for a large amplitude and vice versa.

A sample of a load sequence (recorded at a low loading rate in view of the recorder) is shown in fig. 2. Load frequencies adopted in the tests are 10 cps for the taxiing loads and the lower gust loads ( $S_g = 1.1 - 4.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ) while for the higher gust loads the frequency was inversely proportional to the stress amplitude, varying from 8 to 3.6 cps for  $S_g$  from 5.5 to 12.1 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 4.3 The crack propagation tests.

Pre-cracking of the specimens occurred in an Amsler : High Frequency Pulsator (frequency 100 cycles per second). After pre-cracking the specimens were mounted into the MTS machine and flight simulation loading was started. The propagation of the cracks was observed continuously with a magnifying glass or a stereo-micros-cope (30 x).

The specimens were provided with fine scribe-line markings, see fig. 4. If the tip of a crack just reached such a line the number of flights covered was recorded and these data were used for the evaluation of the crack propagation.

If one specimen of a pair tested in series failed the fatigue life until failure for the other one was obtained by extrapolation of the crack propagation curve employing the data of the fractured specimen, see fig. 7. It will be clear that this will not introduce inaccuracies of any importance. Results obtained did not indicate systematic differences between the results of specimens tested in series and specimens tested separately.

#### 5 The fatigue loads.

#### 5.1 The gust loads.

A gust spectrum was recently derived in the Netherlands from flight data obtained in England, Australia and the USA. The shape of the spectrum is shown in fig. 1. The gust spectrum was converted into a stress spectrum, by using a conversion factor lft/sec = 0.3 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (430 psi), a value frequently adopted by the NLR for program tests. As a mean stress a value S = 7.0 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (10 ksi) was selected.



For the flight simulation tests the load spectrum as given in fig. 1 had to be distributed over a number of different flights. It will be clear that the load spectrum cannot be the same for all flights since the more severe gusts have an average frequency of occurrence of less than once in a flight. Ten different types of flights were designed, each characterized by its own load spectrum varying from "good weather" conditions to "storm" conditions. This was done in such a way that the shape of the load spectrum (statistically speaking: the distribution function) is approximately the same for all flights except for the severety which is different. Justification for this procedure is found in gust load measurements evaluated by Bullen (ref. 4), and in the modern power spectral density conception indicating that the shape of the spectral density function of the gust is invariable but the intensity is depending on weather conditions and flying height (ref.5). Starting from the stepped function in fig. 1 numbers of gust cycles for the flights A - K were obtained as shown in table 5.

The sequence of the gust cycles in the flights is one of the variables to be studied in the present program, that means a random sequence has to be compared with a programmed sequence. It should be noted that each positive gust amplitude is immediately followed by a negative one of equal magnitude. In other words gust cycles are applied as complete cycles around a mean load. This applies to both the random and the programmed sequence, see figure 3. For the random gust loads this is a restriction on the randomness, which is thought to be slightly conservative (ref. 3), see also the discussion in section 7.5.

The sequence of gust cycles of different magnitudes in each flight is a random sequence produced by a computer. An example is shown in fig. 2, see also fig. 3. The sequence of the flights is also random, with the exception of the very severe flights. Since it had to be expected that the severe flights may have a predominant effect on orack growth it was thought undesirable that these flights have a chance to cluster together, which is the risk of a random selection. The most severe flights were therefore uniformly distributed over the total sequence. This is diagrammatically indicated in table 6.

In the tests such a block of 5000 flights was repeated periodically. Since a block of 5000 flights contains approximately 200.000 gust cycles in a random sequence the repetition of the block is thought to be irrelevant with respect to the randomness of the load-time history. It was recommended in ref. 1 that the maximum load in a full-scale flight simulation test should not exceed the load level



anticipated 10 times in the desired life time in view of the predominant and favorable effect of larger loads on the fatigue life. If the desired fatigue life is taken as 50.000 flights this leads to a truncation at the load level that will be reached or exceeded once in 5000 flights, that means the maximum level shown in fig. 1.

A similar recommendation was made in ref. 1 for crack propagation. Assuming an inspection period of 500 flights the stress amplitude that is equalled or exceeded 10 times in 500 flights (or 100 times in 5000 flights) according to fig. 1 is about 6.6 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>. This truncation level was used in several test series, but in addition two higher truncation levels ( $S_a = 7.7$  and  $8.8 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ) and two lower ones ( $S_a = 5.5$  and  $4.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ) were employed. The test results clearly confirmed the slower crack propagation at higher truncation levels. A few preliminary tests were carried out with the load spectrum shown in fig. 1 fully untruncated.

# 5.2 The ground-to-air cycles and the taxiing loads.

In the preliminary tests the mean stress of the ground-to-air cycles (CTAC) was more or less arbitrarily assessed at  $S_m = 0$ . On this mean stress 20 taxiing loads cycles were superimposed with an amplitude of  $S_a = 1.4 \text{kg/mm}^2$ , the stress range 2.8 kg/mm² thus being 40 °/o of the  $S_m$ -value of the gust cycles. A similar pattern for the taxiing loads was adopted previously by Grassner and Jacoby (ref. 6). It was considered to be a relatively severe air-ground-air transition, which was made somewhat more severe for the major part of the tests by adopting  $S_m = -2.0 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  for the taxiing loads. Since it was expected that the damaging effect of the taxiing loads would be negligible (the tests have confirmed this view) it was thought unnecessary to refine the GTAC by varying both the number and the amplitude of these load cycles, although that would have been possible.

#### 6 Test results.

#### 6.1 Results of the flight-simulation tests.

In each specimen two oracks were started by the central notch. In general crack propagation was symmetric, that means  $\ell_1 \approx \ell_2$ , and hence all data presented will refer to the average crack length  $\ell$  as defined in fig. 4. The complete crack propagation records for all specimens are presented in tables 7 and 8 by giving the incremental numbers of flights,  $\Delta n_i$ , corresponding to successive crack growth intervals,  $\ell_i \longrightarrow \ell_{i+1}$ . The  $\ell_i$ - values were associated to the scribe-line



markings on the specimens. The plotting positions for crack propagation curves have not been presented, but they can easily be calculated from the tables. An example with two crack propagation curves is given in fig.7.

The crack growth data were converted into crack propagation rates by taking at  $\ell$  =  $(\ell_i + \ell_{i+1})/2$ :

 $\frac{\Delta \ell}{\Delta n} = \frac{\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i}{\Delta n_i} .$ 

This formula in fact gives the average crack rate of the crack growth interval, which is assumed to apply to midpoint of the interval, a sufficient approximation for small intervals. Calculations of the crack rate were made only for the mean result of each test series. The results have been plotted in figs 8-11.

The crack propagation life is defined as the number of flights for crack growth from  $\ell$ = 10 mm until complete failure. The crack propagation life turned out to be useful for a first appreciation of the trends emerging from the tests. Results are given in tables 11-17 and figs 13 and 14, which will be used as a starting point for the discussion. For a more refined approach the crack propagation data will be used.

#### 6.2 Results of the constant amplitude tests and damage calculations.

The evaluation of the data was performed in a similar way as for the flight-simulation tests, see table 9. In fig.15 the results have been plotted as S-N data. Damage calculations could not be made for all tests since insufficient S-N data were obtained. However, it was possible to calculate the  $\sum n/N$  value for the random tests (2024 specimens) with the GTAC being omitted (series No.45). This has been done in table 18 and the result was  $\sum n/N = 3.4$ . A still higher value has to expected for the 7075 specimens since the n-values are appr. half as large as for the 2024 specimens, see table 16, while the N-values are only one fourth appr. (see fig.15).

Secondly the constant-amplitude data for both materials obtained at  $S_a = 1.1$  and  $2.2 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  allowed a prediction on the difference between the crack propagation lives with and without small gust cycles. Adopting the symbols: M = crack propagation life with small gust cycles included, and M' = crack propagation life without small gust cycles being applied, then the Palmgren-Kiner rule for a test with the small gust cycles included can be written as:

 $\frac{N}{N'}$  + N.( $\sum \frac{n}{N}$  for the small gust cycles per flight) = 1.



With this equation M' may be derived from M or vice versa. In the former case M' becomes infinite for many test series since the damage of the small gust cycles (second term in the equation) is already equalling or exceeding 1. This clearly illustrates that the Palmgren-Miner rule is highly overestimating the damage contribution of the small gust cycles. The same trend is observed when deriving M from M', that means calculating the reduced fatigue—life when small gust cycles are included. The results are shown in table 19 and a comparison is made with the test results. The table shows that the prediction of the reduced fatigue life is much smaller than the reduced test life, again implying an overestimation of the damage contribution of the small gust cycles. This feature is also thought responsible for the high ≥n/N obtained in the random tests without GTAC (table 18).

It is noteworthy that the overestimation of the damage contribution of the small gust cycles appears to be larger for the 7075 specimens than for the 2024 specimens, compare the ratios in the last column of table 19.

### 6.3 Results of the tests on the specimens with a central hole.

These tests were carried out on 2024 specimens only. The crack propagation records are given in table 10, while the average crack propagation curves are shown in figure 16. Crack nucleation occurs at the edge of the hole and the nucleation period was arbitrarily defined as the number of flights to create a crack with a length of 2 mm ( $\ell$ ' = 2 mm or  $\ell$  = 12 mm, see fig.16). The crack propagation life then started and lasted until failure. The variable studied was the truncation level and fig.16 shows that this level had a large effect on the crack propagation life, similar to the results as found in the normal crack propagation tests, see table 14. However, for the crack-nucleation period the truncation effect is much smaller as clearly illustrated by the life ratios in fig.16.

In fig.17 the crack rates in the specimens with a central hole are compared with those of specimens with a small central notch. Comparative results were available only for  $S_{a, max} = 6.6 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  (and  $S_{a, min} = 2.2 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ). The figure shows that after some crack growth the two curves practically coincide, as might be expected.



# 6.4 Some fractographic observations.

Although the 200 specimens tested would have allowed an extensive fractographic examination this was beyond the scope of the investigation. Some macroscopic observations will be recapitulated below, since they may have some meaning for explaining the trends of the crack propagation results. A few fractographs obtained with the electron microscope will be presented also.

A large number of specimens showed growing bands on the fracture surfaces, that could easily be detected by the unaided eye, see fig. 18. The bands were better visible if the difference between the maximum and the minimum gust amplitude (Sa.max - Sa.min) was large, while the bands were virtually absent when this difference was small. A similar correlation was found for the macroscopic roughness of the fracture surface, that means that the surface was relatively smooth for a high value of Sa, max - Sa, min and relatively rough if this difference was small. Both observations indicate that the interaction between high and low amplitude cycles had some effect on the cracking mechanism. Since fatigue striations could not be detected in the dark bands whereas they could be found between the dark bands the dash bands have to be associated with the load cycles with a high amplitude. The dark bands have been associated previously (ref.7) with some kind of a "brittle" crack extension. Since the bands were more clearly present for a high value of Sa. max - Sa. min the numerous low amplitude cycles apparently are conditioning the material in order to promote the brittle crack extension in the high amplitude cycles.

Macroscopically the fracture plane of a slowly propagating fatigue crack is perpendicular to the loading direction. When the crack propagation is accelerating the growing direction remains the same but the fracture plane will make an angle of 45 degrees with the loading direction. This transition from the "tensile" mode to the "shear" mode has frequently been observed and has been correlated with the transition from plane strain to plane stress conditions.

In the present investigation the transition was observed in all specimens, but this phenomenon in general did not develop as clearly as under constant—amplitude loading. This is probably a consequence of the variety of amplitudes applied. Low amplitudes will promote the tensile mode, whereas high amplitudes will promote the shear mode. These then are two competing influences and the result is a slow transition from one mode into the other one when the crack is growing.



Unfortunately the transition also occurred during the precracking of the 2024 specimens, while it has occurred to a minor degree in the 7075 specimens, see fig. 18. Consequently the very first part of crack growth in the 2024 specimens may have been influenced by the retransition to the tensile mode. In order to check this point some test series were carried out on specimens precracked to a crack length  $\ell=6$  mm and  $\ell=5$  mm for the 2024 and the 7075 specimens respectively. As shown by plotting the crack rate as a function of the crack length in figs 8b and 8d a noticeable effect of the precracking was found only for the 2024 specimens truncated at a low  $S_{a, max}$  value  $(S_{a, max} = 4.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2)$  and this effect was restricted to the very first part of the crack growth. Therefore it will not be considered any further.

It is noteworthy that the macrobands were still visible after the transition from the tensile mode to the shear mode was completed, although it should be said that the bands were less distinct then.

Two stage carbon replicas for observation in the electron microscope were obtained from the fracture surfaces of several specimens, but as said before, a systematic study was not made. Striations could be observed in all specimens examined and two pictures are shown in fig.19. In general the striations were more clearly observed in the 7075 specimens than in the 2024 specimens, while several features were found that have been described elsewhere (recently in ref.8). If it had been possible to indicate the GTAC in the electron graphs this would have been a promising result. However, no conformation of this possibility was obtained for the random flight simulation tests. In the programmed flight simulation tests certain batches of gust cycles of equal magnitude could easily be indicated, see for instance the lower picture in fig.19. From this information the striations corresponding to the GTAC could be indicated in some cases, although in general this still remained difficult.



# Analysis of the present results and comparison with data from the literature.

In the literature comparative investigations concerning macro-crack growth under flight simulation loading could hardly be found. This is somewhat surprising since the problem is an essential part of the fail-safe conception. However, the fatigue life of notched elements under flight simulation was studied in the literature and reference will be made to this work. Secondly some crack propagation studies under random loading without GTAC were also reported in the literature.

In this chapter the various aspects of the present investigation are discussed separately while a general discussion is given in the following chapter. Before the present results will be analysed the possibilities for interaction effects between load cycles of different magnitudes will be discussed first, since that may be helpful for explaining the empirical trends.

#### 7.1 Interaction between load cycles of different magnitudes.

If the fatigue load is changed from one level to a second level (by either changing S<sub>a</sub> or S<sub>m</sub> or both) the fatigue crack propagation at the second level will initially be different from the propagation occurring when the second level had been applied from the beginning of the test. This interaction effect according to macroscopic observations was practically negligible if the change was an increase of the stress amplitude, whereas important crack growth delays were observed if the stress amplitude was reduced (refs 9 and 10). Positive peak loads could most drastically reduce the crack growth. The explanation was based on residual stresses set up in the crack tip region.

In recent publications of the group of McMillan, Pelloux and Herzberg (refs 11, 12 and 13) it has been suggested that crack tip blunting and sharpening as well as cyclic strain hardening may be of more than just secondary importance. This view was based on excellent electron fractography. In addition it appears that changes of the state of stress may also be significant. Low stress amplitudes are associated with slow crack propagation and plane strain at the tip of the crack (tensile mode fracture, macroscopically), while high stress amplitudes will induce fast crack propagation with predominantly plane stress at the tip of the crack (shear mode fracture). Changing from a low amplitude to a high amplitude may then imply that the crack front has not the spatial configuration associated with the high amplitude. The same applies to the reversed amplitude change and this phenomenon will also lead to interaction effects. It is partly confirmed by the fractographic observations presented in section 6.4



Listing the various arguments for interaction effects during crack propagation gives:

- 1. Residual stresses.
- 2. Crack blunting or sharpening.
- 3. Cyclic strain hardening (or softening) and associated influences on the material structure.
- 4. Mismatch between the macroscopic fracture planes as a consequence of different states of stress at the tip of the crack.

It has been known for a long time that crack growth at a certain stress amplitude is depending of the mean stress (or the maximum stress). This result is substantiated by physical conceptions about crack extension (refs 14 and 15). It is then a natural consequence that residual compressive stresses will reduce the crack propagation rate. It is much more difficult to make qualitative predictions on the effect of the other aspects listed above. Crack blunting is a matter of plastic deformations and it therefore will introduce residual stresses. Hence the effect of crack blunting cannot be separated from an additional effect of residual stresses. It is noteworthy, however, that the interaction effects are more significant for the 7075 alloy as compared to the 2024 alloy, see section 7.9. In the former alloy higher residual stresses can be introduced due to higher yield stress, and secondly crack blunting will be less than in the more ductile 2024 alloy. The larger interactions in the 7075 alloy are then in favor of the residual stress argument rather than crack blunting.

The third and the fourth argument do not readily allow simple speculations. In section 6.4 it was said that low amplitude cycles may condition the material and thus stimulate brittle crack extension at a higher amplitude, which would be an unfavorable interaction.

It is noteworthy that McMillan and Pelloux (refs 11 and 12) on the basis of electron fractography came to the conclusion that interaction effects when changing the fatigue load are hardly observed on the fracture surface. An exception, however, was made for the first cycle applied after changing the fatigue load. There were some indications that interactions might be active then. It was further observed by McMillan and Herzberg (ref.13) that a drop of Smax first induced an increased striation spacing followed by a decreased spacing afterwards. The latter as well as the macroscopically delayed crack growth are compatible with the residual stress argument, whereas the former is not.

An important conclusion from the above discussion is that changing the fatigue load may introduce an interaction that is only significant for the first



cycle following that change. The implication is that interaction effects could be very important for random load sequences, since the amplitude is changing from cycle to cycle. However, for tests with a programmed load sequence such interaction effects may remain almost unnoticed since changing the stress amplitude is a relatively infrequent occurrence.

In conclusion it has to be admitted that with the exception of the influence of residual stresses the qualitative understanding of the other interaction effects is still partly speculative and requires a further systematic study.

# 7.2 The omission of the taxiing loads (TL) from the ground-to-air cycle (CTAC).

As shown by table 11 the omission of the TL had a practically negligible effect on the crack propagation life. Important arguments are:

- (a) The minimum stress in the GTAC  $(S_{min})$  was the same for tests with and without
- (b) Smin in the GTAC was the lowest stress of a flight.
- (c) The TL had a compressive mean stress (- 2.0 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>).

In view of the last argument it is difficult to see how the TL should contribute to crack growth. In view of arguments (b) and (c) the omission of the TL does not affect the overall loading cycle of a flight. Hence one should expect a negligible effect on the crack propagation life as shown by the tests. This justifies the omission of TL in a flight simulation test, provided that the minimum stress of the GTAC has been adjusted in order to account for the largest taxing load cycle. (a) The omission may save a considerable amount of testing time.

The same reasoning was already presented in ref.1 for full-scale testing in general. Reference was made there to results of Gassner and Jacoby (ref.5) who found that the omission of 20 TL cycles per GTAC did not affect the fatigue life in flight simulation tests on notched bars  $(K_t = 3.1)$  of 2024-T3 material.

## 7.3 The minimum stress of the GTAC.

The minimum stress  $(S_{\min})$  of the GTAC was in fact not a parameter to be studied in the present test series. However, since some exploratory tests were carried out at  $S_{\min} = -1.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  while for other tests a value of  $-3.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  was adopted a limited comparison could be made. Table 12 shows that the effect of  $S_{\min}$ 

(a) If a part of a structure is carrying a significant tensile stress during the CTAC it will be clear that TL may give the major fatigue damage contribution and TL should obviously be considered.



for the 7075 specimens was negligible whereas for the 2024 specimens there might be a small systematic effect, that means a shorter crack propagation life if the GTAC is going further downwards. The latter trend has not been well substantiated in view of the small number of tests.

In the GTAC the specimens were loaded in compression and one may expect the crack to be closed and to be no longer a severe stress raiser, since it then can transmit compressive loads. As a consequence the effect of  $S_{\min}$  should be unimportant. This argument was suggested by Illg and McEvily (ref. 16) who found it to be more applicable to 7075 sheet material as compared to 2024 sheet material. The latter was explained by the higher ductility of the 2024 alloy, implying more crack opening due to plastic deformation in the crack tip area, and hence a larger compressive stress before crack closure occurs. This reasoning is in agreement with the effect of  $S_{\min}$  in the GTAC as indicated above.

The meaning of  $S_{\min}$  of the GTAC for notched elements will be more important than for macro-cracks, since the crack-closing argument does no longer apply. Hence the assessment of  $S_{\min}$  in a full-scale test on a structure should be made most carefully, the more since there is ample evidence of the large damaging influence of the GTAC (refs 1 and 17).

# 7.4 Omission of the small gust loads,

Omission of the smaller gust load cycles implies that a relatively large part of the gust cycles is omitted (see table 5) and hence much shorter durations of the flights will be the result, see fig.3. Testing times for 5000 flights were:

All gust cycles included

: 346 minutes

Gusts with  $S_a = 1.1 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  omitted

: 96 minutes

Gusts with  $S_2 = 1.1$  and 2.2 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> omitted: 30 minutes.

The attractive feature of omitting the smaller gust cycles is thus clearly illustrated. However, the omission in general increased the crack propagation life, see table 13 and fig.13. If the cycles with both  $S_a = 1.1$  and  $S_a = 2.2$  kg/mm<sup>2</sup> were omitted the increase of life was about twofold, for both random and programmed flight simulation tests and for two truncation levels  $(S_{a,max} = 6.6$  and 7.7 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>). When omitting only the smallest cycles  $(S_a = 1.1 \text{ kg/mm}^2)$  the increase was about 20 % for the 2024 specimens and 40 % for the 7075 specimens (table 13). The former result is a moderate increase and it might be acceptable under certain circumstances.



The effect of omitting small gust loads is shown in more detail in fig.9 by plotting the crack rate as a function of the crack length. It turns out that the larger differences are found if the crack rate is low while for relatively large cracks and high crack rates the effect has vanished. The trend is more clear for the 7075 alloy.

For an explanation two lines of thoughts may be considered:

- (a) During the small gust cycles there will be some crack extension. In other words these cycles give some direct contribution to the crack propagation.
- (b) Secondly the small gust cycles may induce an unfavorable interaction effect on the crack extension during larger amplitude cycles, see the discussion in section 7.1.

The fractographic observations (section 5.4) seem to favor the latter view, since the macro growth bands were more readily visible if the small gust cycles were included. However, as pointed out in section 7.1 it remains difficult to separate the contributions of the possibilities (a) and (b).

Comparable evidence was not found in the literature. Tests of McLillan and Pelloux (ref.11) with programmed sequences (without GTAC and not conforming to a gust spectrum) indicate little if any damage of the low amplitude cycles, but these cycles were so less numerous that a comparison with the present data is hardly justified.

Flight simulation tests on notched elements, involving the effect of omitting small gust cycles were reported by Naumann (ref.3) and by Gassner and Jacoby (ref.5). Naumann employing random flight-simulation loading found a small life increase when omitting gust cycles with  $S_a = 1.05 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ , namely 16 and 7 per cent depending of  $S_{\min}$  in the GTAC (7075 edge notched specimens,  $K_t = 4.0$ ,  $S_m = 14 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ). Cassner and Jacoby reported a 2.5 times longer fatigue life in programmed flight simulation tests if the cycles with the smallest amplitude  $(S_a = 1.3 \text{ kg/mm}^2)$  were omitted (2024 central-notch specimens,  $K_t = 3.1$ ,  $S_m = 9.5 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ).



#### 7.5 The effect of the gust cycles with a high amplitude.

The truncation of the gust spectrum (see fig.1), implies that the amplitude of the more severe gust cycles are reduced to a common S —value. The present results have shown that this value has a predominant effect on the crack propagation life, see table 14 and fig. 14. The latter figure clearly illustrates that the effect is large, irrespective of random or programmed gust sequences being adopted and taxiing loads being applied or not. Table 14 further shows that the effect is of a similar magnitude if the two smallest gust cycles are omitted  $(S_{a,min} = 3.3 \text{ kg/mm}^2)$ . Figure 14 also shows that the effect is slightly larger for the 7075 alloy than for the 2024 material.

The effect of the truncation level is shown in more detail in fig. 8. The figures 8a and 8b indicate that the effect for the 7075 material has a maximum at  $\ell \approx 20$  mm, whereas such a maximum is less clear for the 2024 specimens. Figure 8e including some data for S<sub>a,max</sub> = 12.1 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> most dramatically demonstrates the significance of truncating the gust spectrum. A test with S<sub>a,max</sub> = 12.1 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> on a 2024 specimen had to be stopped in view of the extremely slow crack growth.

For an explanation the interaction effects mentioned in section 7.1 have to be considered. Since the trends were the same for programmed and random gust sequences and also for random sequences with and without small gust cycles it is thought that residual stresses were indeed the main agent responsible for the effect of the truncation level.

In view of the predominant and almost frightening effect of S<sub>a,max</sub> on the crack propagation a few tests were carried out to explore this effect with regard to the life time for crack nucleation from a central hole. These tests were restricted to 2024 specimens and as fig. 16 shows the effect fortunately is much smaller for the nucleation period. It has to be admitted, however, that for the nucleation period the truncation levels were relatively low when considering for instance a target life of 50000 flights. More tests on this topic with respect to the pre-crack life appear to be desirable.

In the literature similar tests concerning crack propagation were not found and there was only one reference for the fatigue life under flight simulation loading for notched elements. Gassner and Jacoby (ref.6) for a notched bar (2024-T3,  $K_t = 3.1$ ,  $S_m = 9.5$  and 11.0 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>) with programmed flight simulation loading reported as 30 and 10 percent life reduction when  $S_{a, max}$  was reduced from 2.1  $S_m$  to 1.55  $S_m$ . Qualitatively it is the same trend as in the present investigation.



# 7.5 Random or programmed sequences in each flight and reversion of the gust cycle

Within a flight the gusts were applied in either a random or a programmed sequence see fig.3. As table 15 shows the differences between the crack propagation lives for the two sequences were very small. This is further substantiated by fig.11. Table 15 gives the impression that the truncation level might have a small systematic effect on the comparison that means that for  $S_{a, max} = 8.8 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  the crack propagation life with a programmed gust sequence is some 10 percent longer than for the random sequence, while for  $S_{a, max} = 4.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  it is about 7 percent shorter. However, these differences are so small that it cannot be said with an certainty that a systematic trend was found.

In two test series the reversion of the gust cycles (random sequence) implied that each gust cycle now started with the negative half cycle followed by the positive one of the same amplitude. It turned out that the effect on the crack propagation was practically negligible, see table 16 and fig. 10. This is a second indication that the sequence of the gust loads in a flight is of secondary importance. Apparently the Sammar-value, within the limits of flight-simulation loading, was the predominant parameter for crack propagation rather than the load sequence in each flight.

Crack propagation under random loading, however, without GTAC but axial loading and positive mean stresses was studied by Smith (refs 18 and 19) for 2024 and 7075 sheet material and for different shapes of the spectral density function of the loading. The results indicated a small influence of the spectral shape. A similar trend was observed for the fatigue life of notched aluminum alloys by Kowalewski (ref.20,  $K_t = 1.8$ , plane bending,  $S_m = 0$ ), Naumann (ref.21,  $K_t = 4$ , axial loading,  $S_m = 12.2 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ) and Clevenson and Steiner (ref.22,  $K_t = 2.2$ , axial loading,  $S_m = 0$ ). Since the "degree" of randomness is a function of the spectral shape those test programs suggest the sequence of loads to be of minor importance as long as it is random (see also the discussion of Swanson in ref.23). If periodic loads such as the CTAC are then added to a random load history it may be expected that the sequence effect will be limited even further.



Interesting information is coming from random tests published by Naumann (ref.3) and Gassner and Jacoby (ref.24). Naumann performed tests on an edge notched specimen ( $K_t = 4$ ) of 7075 material with a random gust loading with and without CTAC. Three types of randomness were adopted, indicated by Naumann as:

- (1) Random cycle: Each positive half cycle was followed by a negative half cycle of the same magnitude.
- (2) Random half cycle, restrained: Each positive half cycle was followed by a negative half cycle, the magnitude of which was selected at random from the load spectrum and which therefore was generally not equal to that of the preceding positive half cycle.
- (3) Random half cycle, unrestrained: Positive and negative half cycles were randomly selected with no restrictions on the sequence of positive and negative.

The results are summarized in the table below.

Randomness	Fatigue life	in flights GTAC	Fatigue life	cratio (a)
(1) Random cycle	5815	1334	0.66	0.84
(2) Random half cycle, restrained	7358	1515	0,84	0 <b>.9</b> 5
(3) Random half cycle, unrestrained	8798	1588	1	1

(a) Ratio = 1 for case (3)

Gassner and Jacoby (ref.24) performed flight simulation tests with a random gust sequence and with two different programmed sequences. The tests on 2024-T3 specimens (K<sub>t</sub> = 3.1) yielded fatigue lives of 2500, 2800 and 5800 flights respectively. There were approximately 400 gust cycles per flight programmed in a high-low-high amplitude sequence (life = 2800 flights) or in a low-high-low sequence (5800 flights). With such a large number of gust cycles per flight different programming techniques apparently may cause significantly different fatigue lives. Hence a realistic sequence should be preferred. In an additional study (ref.25) Jacoby performed flight simulation tests on the same specimen loaded with a random sequence of complete gust cycles,or with a random sequence of maxima and minima. The fatigue lives were practically the same. Jacoby also performed tests without CTAC and then found large differences between the fatigue lives under random and programmed load sequences, that means much larger as found in other investigations. The latter result requires further clarification and a discussion is beyond the scope of the present report.



# 7.7 Application of a single gust load per flight.

In the load sequence as shown in fig. 3f, only the largest upward gust of each flight was applied. As a result the crack propagation life was more than 3 times longer as compared to the standard random sequence, see table 16. In fact such a highly simplified load sequence can be envisaged as a simulation of flights from which all gust cycles were omitted except for the positive half cycle with the largest amplitude. The fatigue life is longer than for omitting gust cycles with  $S_a = 1.1$  and  $2.2 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  as shown by table 16. The effect on the crack rate is illustrated by figs &c and &g. Apparently the simplification of applying a simple gust load per flight is unacceptable for crack propagation studies.

#### 7.8 Omission of the GTAC.

Omission of the GTAC increased the fatigue life with some 50 and 80 percent for the 7075 and 2024 specimens respectively, see the bottom line of table 16. That means adding the GTAC reduced the fatigue life with 33 and 44 percent respectively. Hence the omission seems to be unjustified. The larger figure for the 2024 alloy may be explained in a similar way as the influence of S<sub>min</sub> of the GTAC, see section 7.2.

In a previous investigation of this laboratory (ref. 26) crack propagation in 2024 and 7075 sheet material under random and programmed load sequences was studied in an indoor and an outdoor environment. Data on the effect of the GTAC were available for the 2024 material only. The GTAC induced life reductions of 27 and 2 percent for the indoor and the outdoor environment respectively. The small reductions are not surprising when taking notice of the stress levels  $(kg/mm^2)$ : gusts:  $S_m = 12.1$ ,  $S_{a,max} = 11.6$ ,  $S_{a,min} = 1.15$ , GTAC:  $S_{min} = + 2.6$ .

In another test series on 2024-T3 Alclad specimens (ref.27) a constant-amplitude loading ( $S_m = 9$  and  $S_a = 3$  kg/mm<sup>2</sup>) was interspersed with GTAC ( $S_{min} = 4.0.7$  kg/mm<sup>2</sup>) every 50 or every 10 cycles. Reductions of the crack propagation life were 12 and 28 percent respectively.

Much larger reductions have been found in several flight-simulation test series for notched specimens and structures (see for a survey Appendix G of ref.1) and hence realistic fatigue information requires a flight by flight testing. Although the present data have shown a smaller effect during macro-crack propagation it has to be said that a flight-simulation loading should be preferred also then rather than testing without GTAC or testing with ground-to-air cycles applied in groups.



# 7.9 Comparison between the two alloys, 7075 and 2024.

In general all tests were carried out on specimens of both alloys using the same stress-time histories. Without any exception the crack propagation life was larger for the 2024 alloys, and as shown by table 17 approximately twice as large. It was already illustrated by fig.14 that this ratio was dependent of the  $S_{a, max}$  value, the ratio becoming smaller at higher truncation levels. In this respect it is interesting to compare the crack rates as a function of the crack length, see figures 10 to 12. This shows that the differences between the two alloys become smaller at higher values of the crack length (higher stress intensities), larger values of  $S_{a, max}$  and smaller values of  $S_{a, min}$ . Apparently these trends indicate that favorable interaction effects become more significant in the 7075 material as compared to the more ductile 2024 alloy if the stress intensity at the tip of the crack is increased (higher  $\ell$  and  $S_{a, max}$ ). This argument was referred to in section 7.1.

It is noteworthy that the differences between the two alloys were considerably larger in the constant amplitude tests, see fig.15, than in the flight-simulation tests. This is another indication for the more favorable interaction effects in the 7075 alloy.

# 7.10 Damage calculations.

It was shown in section 6.2 that  $\sum n/n = 1$  highly underestimates the crack propagation life for the tests without CTAC. Calculations for tests with GTAC could not be made since constant-amplitude data for the GTAC were lacking.

A comparison between predicted crack rates and actual crack rates under random loading conditions (without CTAC) was made by several authors. For a positive mean stress Smith (ref.18) found the linear damage rule to be conservative (2024 and 7075 material) while Swanson et al (ref.28) arrived at good estimates (7079 alloy). Both investigations apply to axial load tests. For program loading  $\sum n/2$  far in excess of one had previously been found (ref.29).

As shown by table 18 the damage contribution in the flight-simulation tests should be very small for the higher  $S_a$ -values. However, according to the test results, load cycles with the high  $S_a$ -values had a large positive effect on the crack propagation life, rather than a small negative one.



It was already mentioned in section 6.2 that the Palmgren Miner rule also gave a very bad prediction of the damage of the small gust cycles (table 19). The invalidity of the Palmgren Miner rule is not a surprising conclusion since interaction effects as discussed in section 7.1 are essentially ignored by this rule. However, from the present data the conclusion can also be given as follows:

The effect of changing the load spectrum on the fatigue life cannot be predicted from the Palmgren Miner rule.

#### 8 Discussion.

#### 8.1 Recommendation for the maximum load in a flight-simulation test.

The main theme of the present investigation is the question: Which load sequences can be adopted in a flight simulation test in order to obtain crack propagation data with practical significance? This is an urgent question if fail-safe tests are carried out on a full-scale structure. It appears that the present investigation has shown some variables to be of minor importance and some others to be of major importance.

- 1. The omission of taxiing loads did not affect the crack propagation.
- 2. The minimum stress in the CTAC, being compressive, had only a small influence if any.
- 3. The sequence of the gust cycles in a flight turned out to be of secondary importance.
  - Influences of major importance were concerned with the following topics:
- 4. Omission of the gust cycles with small amplitudes did systematically increase the fatigue life, see fig.13, and should therefore be limited to very small amplitudes (say  $S_a \leq 1 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ).
- 5. The predominant effect on the crack propagation was exerted by the maximum gust amplitude (S<sub>a,max</sub>) included in the test, see fig.14. Increasing this amplitude gave a considerable decrease of the crack propagation rate.

In fact the selection of S<sub>a,max</sub> now appears to be the most delicate issue when planning a flight-simulation program for crack propagation studies. Although it may appear realistic to apply all gust loads that are anticipated to occur, it has to be recognized that one then applies an averaged expected load spectrum. The load spectrum is statistically variable in such a way that the spectrum for a certain aircraft will be more severe, while it will be less severe for another nominally identical aircraft. If the target for the crack propagation life is



2000 flying hours (as an example) the gust load that on the average is reached or exceeded once in that period will be met more than once by some aircraft while others will not see it. If we then know that this high gust load is highly beneficial for a slow crack propagation it would be both unrealistic and unconscriptive to include it in a test. A truncation of the load spectrum to a lower level has therefore to be proposed.

In ref.1 a similar argumentation was already used for full scale testing in general and it was proposed that a load level exceeded 10 times in the target life should be the maximum level applied in the test. The number of 10 admittedly has been chosen somewhat arbitrary, but the number is thought to be large enough for being sure that each aircraft will meet the load at least a few times. The recommendation presupposes that the load spectrum was estimated as accurately as possible without any unduly over-conservatism.

It now appears that the same recommendation is equally applicable to crack propagation studies. The question then arrises as what shall be the target life for crack propagation. For a fail-safe structure the target may obviously be much lower than the anticipated useful life of the aircraft. It has to be associated with the inspection period in service. The proposal is to truncate the load spectrum at the level that will be equalled or exceeded 10 times in the service inspection period. The question of safety factors is again difficult and will not be discussed here. It should be pointed out, however, that the truncation as suggested is in some way accounting for the scatter of the load spectrum.

# 8.2 Alternatives to flight-simulation.

For full-scale fatigue testing only one structure will in general be available and there appears to be no reasonable alternative to a realistic flight-simulation test. This view has been expressed several times, notably by Eranger (ref.30). It appears to be true also for crack propagation. Fortunately the problems of load control in such a test are no longer an objection.

If smaller structural elements have to be tested during the design stage it may be worthwhile to adopt simpler testing methods such as program tests or even constant-amplitude tests. For crack propagation there appears to be as yet no empirical justification for such a procedure. On the contrary the present investigation suggests that interaction effects between load cycles of different amplitudes are important enough to retrieve the main line of service loading. This is the



flight-by-flight character, at least for a wing structure mainly loaded by gusts. In other words also then a flight-simulation test has to be advocated. As discussed by Jacoby (ref.25) this is no longer a problem for modern fatigue machines. A major difficulty, however, is to arrive at a useful flight-simulation load-time history.

If one still uses simpler loading programs in view of available fatigue apparatus one has to consider the uncertainties regarding the relevance of the test results.

be

Finally an alternative solution might "calculations", or borrowing and extrapolating from data in the literature. It is almost supplementation to state that this problem has not yet been solved. Nevertheless there are certain prospects for the future. A discussion would be beyond the scope of this report.

#### 8.3 Suggestions for further work.

- 1. An obvious recommendation is to perform a similar test program as the present one, but now with typical notched elements as a specimen in order to cover the fatigue life part of the problem. Although some studies were reported in the literature as referred to in the previous chapter (see also the exploratory tests of the present investigation, fig. 16) several aspects have to be studied in more detail.
- 2. Regarding crack propagation in aluminum alloys systematic studies of interaction effects are certainly worthwhile. In other words the accumulation of fatigue damage is still a topic of present interest, both for practical and fundamental reasons.
- 3. Fatigue under random loads generally appears to be a useful field for investigations. This topic was extensively reviewed by Swanson (ref.23) and the recommendations at the end of his recent paper are well taken.
- 4. A study of the characteristics of flight-simulation loading should be recommended. The application of such load histories in fatigue tests for various purposes has to be considered. One aspect of this problem is the mixture of random and non-random loads.



# 9 Conclusions.

Thight-simulation tests with various load sequences were carried out to study the macro-crack propagation in sheet specimens of 7075-T5 and 2024-T3 clad material. A gust load spectrum was adopted, the mean stress being 7.0 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (10 ksi). In each test 10 different types of flight were simulated varying from good to bad weather conditions. A variety of load sequences has been adopted related to the truncation of high-amplitude gust cycles, to the omission of low-amplitude gust cycles, taxiing loads and ground to air cycles, and to random and programmed gust sequences in a flight (see figs 1 and 3 and table 1). About 200 specimens were tested. The main results of the investigation are summarized in the conclusions below.

- 1. Omission of the taxiing loads from the ground-to-air cycles did not affect the crack propagation.
- 2. In the majority of tests  $S_{\min}$  of the ground to air cycle was -3.4 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (4.8 ksi) but in a few exploratory tests a value of -1.4 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (2.0 ksi) was used. The limited data indicated a practically negligible effect on the crack propagation.
- 3. Omission of the gust cycles with  $S_a = 1.1 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  (75 percent of the cycles) increased the crack propagation life with 20 and 40 percent for the 7075 and 2024 material respectively. Omitting the gust cycles with  $S_a = 1.1$  and 2.2 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (95 percent of the cycles) increased the life with some 100 percent (fig.13).
- 4. The predominant effect on the crack propagation life was exerted by the maximum amplitude of the gust cycles (truncation level). Increasing this amplitude from 4.4 to 8.8 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (6.3 km to 12.5 km) linearly increased the crack propagation life from 2500 to 15000 flights and from 6000 to 25000 flights for the 7075 and 2024 specimens respectively (fig.14). The effect was somewhat larger for the 7075 alloy.
- 5. A programming of the gust cycles in each flight in a low-high-low sequence has given the same crack propagation as for the random sequence.
- 6. In the majority of tests complete gust cycles were applied, starting with the positive gust followed by the negative one of equal amplitude. Reversion of this sequence in negative-positive did not noticeably affect the crack propagation.
- 7. Application in each flight of the largest upward gust load only increased the crack propagation life approximately three times.
- 8. Omission of the ground-to-air cycle increased the crack propagation life approximately 1.5 and 1.8 times for the 7075 and the 2024 specimens respectively. This effect is smaller than usual for the fatigue life of notched elements.



- 9. The crack propagation life in the flight-simulation tests for the 2024 specimens were on the average twice as long as for the 7075 specimens. The ratio in some additional constant amplitude tests was larger, namely approximately four.
- 10. Damage calculations have shown that the Palmgren-Miner rule highly misjudges the effect of changing the load spectrum both in the high-amplitude and in the low-amplitude region.
- 11. In some exploratory tests on specimens notched by a central hole the effect of truncating the high-amplitude gust cycles was smaller for the crack-nucleation period (up to crack length 2 mm) as compared to the large effect on the subsequent macro-crack propagation (fig. 16).
- 12. A discussion on interaction effects between load cycles of different magnitudes indicates residual stresses, crack blunting, (cyclic) strain-hardening effects and mismatch between macro-fracture planes as the possible mechanisms for an explanation. It is thought that for the present test series residual stresses had a predominant effect with respect to the trends observed.
- 13. Conclusions 1-8 have some bearing upon procedures for full-scale tests conducted for obtaining crack propagation data in view of fail-safe considerations. With respect to the maximum load in such a test it has to be recommended that this load should not exceed the level which is anticipated to be equalled or exceeded ten times in the related inspection period.



p.78, 1967.

### 10 List of references.

 Schijve, J., Broek, D., deRijk, P., Nederveen, A. and Sevenhuysen, P.J. Fatigue tests with random and programmed load sequences with and without ground-to-air cycles. A comparative study on full-scale wing center sections.

NLR Report S.613, Amsterdam, Dec. 1965. Also AFFDL-TR-66-143, Oct. 1966.

2. Buxbaum, O., Gassner, E.

Häufigkeitsverteilungen als Bestandteil der Lastannahmen für Verkehrsflugzeuge. Luftfahrttechnik-Haumfahrttechnik, Vol.13,

3. Naumann, C.A.

Evaluation of the influence of load randomization and of ground-to-air cycles on fatigue life.

NASA TN D-1584, Oct. 1964.

4. Bullen, N.I.

The chance of a rough flight.

Royal Aircraft Establishment, TR No. 65039,
February 1965.

5. Hoblit, F.H., Paul, N., Shelton, J.D. and Asford, F.E. Development of a power-spectral gust design procedure for civil aircraft.

FAA Techn. Report ADS-53, Jan. 1966.

6. Gassner, E., Jacoby, G.

Betriebsfestigkeitsversuche zur Ermittlung zulässiger Entwurfsspannungen für die Flügelunterseite eines Transportflugzeuges. Luftfahrttechnik-Raumfahrttechnik, Vol. 10, p. 6, 1964.

7.

Proceedings of the Crack Propagation Symposium, Vol. 1 and 2, Cranfield 1961.

8. Broek, D. Van der Vet, W.J.

Systematic electron fractography of fatigue in aluminium alloys.

NLR TR 68002, Nov. 1967.



9. Schijve, J.	Fatigue crack propagation in light alloy sheet
)	material and structures.
	Advances in Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 3, p. 387.
	Pergamon Press, 1961.
10. Hudson, C.M. and	Investigation of the effects of variable-
Hardrath, H.F.	amplitude loadings on fatigue crack propagation
	patterns.
	NASA TN D-1803, Aug. 1963.
11. Mc Millan, J.C.	Fatigue crack propagation under program and random
Pelloux, R.M.N.	loads. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTN STP 415,
	p. 505. Am. Soc. Testing Mats., 1967.
12. Mc Millan, J.C.	Fatigue crack propagation under programmed and
Pelloux, R.M.N.	random loads. Boeing Scientific Research
	Laboratories, Doc. D1-82-0558, July 1966.
13. Mc Millan, J.C.	The application of electron fractography to
Hertzberg, R.W.	fatigue studies. ASTM Paper No. 42, 70th Annual
	Meeting ASTM, Boston, June 1967.
14. Schijve, J.	Analysis of the fatigue phenomenon in aluminium
	alloys.
	alloys. MLR TR M.2122, July 1964.
15. Schijve, J.	· .
	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.
	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964. Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and
	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM
15. Schijve, J.	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 415. Am.Soc.Testing Mats., 1967.
15. Schijve, J. 16. Illg, W.	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 415. Am.Soc.Testing Mats., 1967.  The rate of fatigue crack propagation for two
15. Schijve, J. 16. Illg, W.	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 415. Am.Soc.Testing Mats., 1967.  The rate of fatigue crack propagation for two aluminum alloys under completely reversed loading.
<ul><li>15. Schijve, J.</li><li>16. Illg, W.</li><li>Mc Evily, A.J.</li></ul>	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 415. Am.Soc.Testing Mats., 1967.  The rate of fatigue crack propagation for two aluminum alloys under completely reversed loading.  NASA TN. D-52, 1959.
<ul><li>15. Schijve, J.</li><li>16. Illg, W.</li><li>Mc Evily, A.J.</li></ul>	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 415. Am.Soc.Testing Mats., 1967.  The rate of fatigue crack propagation for two aluminum alloys under completely reversed loading.  NASA TN. D-52, 1959.  Comparison for fatigue life estimation processes for irregularly varying loads.  Proc. 3rd Conference on Dimensioning and Strength
<ul><li>15. Schijve, J.</li><li>16. Illg, W.</li><li>Mc Evily, A.J.</li></ul>	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 415. Am.Soc.Testing Mats., 1967.  The rate of fatigue crack propagation for two aluminum alloys under completely reversed loading. NASA TN. D-52, 1959.  Comparison for fatigue life estimation processes for irregularly varying loads.  Proc. 3rd Conference on Dimensioning and Strength Calculations, Hungarean Academy of Sciences, p.81,
<ul><li>15. Schijve, J.</li><li>16. Illg, W.</li><li>Mc Evily, A.J.</li></ul>	NLR TR M.2122, July 1964.  Significance of fatigue cracks in micro-range and macro-range. Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 415. Am.Soc.Testing Mats., 1967.  The rate of fatigue crack propagation for two aluminum alloys under completely reversed loading.  NASA TN. D-52, 1959.  Comparison for fatigue life estimation processes for irregularly varying loads.  Proc. 3rd Conference on Dimensioning and Strength



Fatigue crack growth under axial narrow and broad 18. Smith, S.H. band random loading. Paper in: Acoustical Fatigue in Aerospace Structures, (ed. by W.J. Trapp and D.M. Forney Jr.), p.331. Syracuse Un. Press, 1965. Handom-loading fatigue crack growth behavior of 19. Smith, S.H. some aluminium and titanium alloys. Structural Fatigue in Aircraft, ASTM STP 404. P.76 Am.Soc. Testing Mats., 1966. 20. Kowalewski, J. On the relation between fatigue lives under random loading and under corresponding program loading. Full-Scale Fatigue T esting of Aircraft Structures (ed. by F.J. Plantema and J. Schijve), p. 60, Pergamon Press, 1961. 21. Naumann, E.C. Fatigue under random and programmed loads. NASA TN D-2629, Febr. 1965. 22. Clevenson, S.A. Fatigue life under random loading for several Steiner, R. power spectral shapes. NASA TR R-266, Sept. 1967. Random load fatigue testing: A state of the art 23. Swanson, S.R. survey. Materials Research and Standards, Vol. 8, No. 4, p.11, April 1968. Experimentelle und Rechnerische Lebensdauer-24. Gassner, E. beurteilung von Bauteilen mit Start-Lande-Last-Jacoby, G. wechsel. Luftfahrttechnik-Raumfahrttechnik, Vol. 11, p.138, 1965. Comparison of fatigue lives under conventional 25. Jacoby, G. program loading and digital random loading. Paper presented at the ASTM Fall Meeting, Atlanta, 29 Sep. - 4 Oct. 1968. 26. Schijve, J., de Rijk, P. The crack propagation in two aluminium alloys in an indoors and an outdoors environment under random and programmed load sequences. NLR-TR M. 2156, Nov. 1965.



27. Schijve, J., de Rijk, P. The effect of ground-to-air cycles on the fatigue crack propagation in 2024-T3 Alclad sheet material. NLR Report M.2148, July 1965.

28. Swanson, S.R., Crack propagation in clad 7079-T6 aluminum alloy Cicci, F. sheet under constant and random amplitude fatigue Hoppe, W. loading.

> Fatigue Crack Propagation, ASTM STP 415, p. 312. Am. Soc. Testing Mats., 1967.

29. Schijve, J. and Crack propagation. The results of a test programme Brock, D. based on a gust spectrum with variable amplitude loading.

> Aircraft Engineering, Vol. 34, p. 314, 1962. Also NLR MP.208, Dec. 1961.

The full scale fatigue test on the DH 112 Venom AC carried out on the fatigue history simulator by F and W, Emmen. Eidg. Flugzeugwerk Emmen, Bericht S-163, 1964.

30. Branger, J.



Table 1 Survey of the test parameters in the various test series.

Stresses in  $kg/mm^2$ , 1  $kg/mm^2 = 1.422$  ksi

Gust cycles:  $S_m = 7.0 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ 

Taxiing loads:  $S_{max} - S_{min} = 2.8 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ , 20 cycles per GTAC.

	C	TAC	Gust	loads	Test	seri	es No.	(a)
Load sequence	Smin	Taxiing loads	Sa, max	S <sub>a,min</sub>	7075	5 <b>-</b> 16	2024	-T3
Random (exploratory tests)	-1.4	уев	12.1 7.7	1,1	1 3	(1) (1)	2	(1)
(Suprementally seems)			6.6		4	(1)	7	(1)
			5÷5 4•4		5	(1)	8	(2)
Random	-3-4	yes	8.8	1.1	9 10	(1)	21	(4)
			7•7 6.6		11	(5) (5)	22	(1) (5)
			7•7 6•6	3•3	12	(4)	23 24	(1) (4)
		по	8,8	1.1	13	(4)	25	(4)
			7•7		13a 14	(2) (4)	25a 26	(2) (5)
			7•7 6•6		15 15a	(6) (2)	27 27a	(4) (2)
			5•5 4•4		16 17	(4) (4)	28	(4) (4)
					17a	(4)	29a	(2)
			6,6	2.2	1년	(4)	<b>3</b> 0	(4)
			7.7 6.6	3.3	19 20	(2) (4)	31 32	(3) (4)
			1 gus per f	t load light (b)	46	(4)	47	(4)
	GTAC	omitted	6.6	1.1	44	(4)	45	(4)
Random, reversed gusts	-3.4	no.	6.6	1.1	42	(4)	43	(4)
Programmed	-3.4	yes	7•7	1.1	41	(1)		
		no	8.8 6.6	1.1	33 34	(4) (4)	37 3ზ	(4) (4)
			4.4		35	(4)	39	(4)
			6,6	3.3	36	(4)	40	(4)

<sup>(</sup>a) The numbers between brackets indicate the number of tests carried out. (b)  $S_{a,max} = 6.6$ 



### Table 2 Survey of the flight-simulation tests on sheet specimens with a central

Specimen size: Length and width similar to crack propagation specimen,

see fig.4. Central hole with diameter 20 mm.

Material: 2024-T3 Alclad.

Gust cycles:  $S_m = 7.0 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ . Stresses in kg/mm<sup>2</sup>, 1 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> = 1.422 ksi.

	Ğ	TAC	Gust	loads	Test	ser	i 68
Load sequence	Smin	Taxiing loads	S <sub>a,max</sub>	Sa, min	1691	No.	165 (a)
Random	-3.4	no	8.8 6.6 4.4	2.2		48 49 50	(4) (4) (4)

(a) The numbers between brackets indicate the number of tests carried out.

### Table 3 Survey of the constant-amplitude tests

 $S_m = 7.0 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ , load frequency 10 cycles per second.

Material	S <sub>a.</sub> (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Specimen No.	Crack propagation life (kilocycles)
7 <i>0</i> 75 <b>-</b> 46	2.2 1.1	B19/B7 B&0/B93 B6 /B13	31.3/32.0 192/181 (a)
2024	8.8 6.6 4.4 2.2 1.1	A61 A55 A54 A50/A105 A44 A7 /A57	2.65 8.63 21.2 124/125 1031 (b)

- (a) Crack propagation started at  $1 \ge 18$  mm } Specimens previously used for (b) Crack propagation started at  $1 \ge 14$  mm } flight-simulation tests.

#### Table 4 Static properties of the materials.

Material	Direction of loading	Su (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )		S <sub>0</sub> . (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )	2 (ksi)	Elongation (2 in.gage length)
2024-T3 Alclad	Longitudinal Transverse	47•4 45•6	67.4 6 <b>4.</b> 8	_	51.2 44.1	18 % 21 %
7075-T6 Clad	Longitudinal Transverse	53.9 54.1	76.6 76.9	48.5 47.2	69.0 67.1	13 % 13 %


All data in this table are mean values of six tests.

Contrails

Gust load occurrences in the 10 different types of flights Table 5

	Flight	Number of			Mumber of gust cycles with amplitude Sg (kg/mm²)	f gust	cycles	with am	plitude	, S. (kg	(/mm²)			Total number
	type	flights in 5000 flights	S=12.1	S_=11.0	8,=9.9	S_8-8.8	S_=7.7	8 <b>-6.</b> 6	Sa 5.5	S_2-4.4	3	S_=2.2	S=1.1	or cycles per flight
	¥		-	0	-	-	2	3	5	6	15	23	43	107
	Д	ο,		-	-	-	-	N	4	ω,	14	%	43	2
	ပ	OJ.			-	-	-	8	m	7	12	25	43	95
	A	10				-	-	-	٣	2	=	54	43	68
	<b>ച</b>	27		-				<b>-</b>	N	٣	6	22	43	81
	ji <sub>k</sub>	16						-		٣	7	8	43	73
	ن	301							-	8	4	15	42	64
	Ħ	858								-	m	Ξ	38	53
	2	3165									-	7	98	36
34	¥	543										-	19	20
	Total number cycles in all flights	Total number of cycles in all flights	-	2	5	15	43	139	495	1903	8000	39252	149902	
	Number of exceedings see fig.1	of ngs, .1	-	3	8	23	99	205	700	2603	10603	49855	199757	





The most severe flights A, B, C, D, E, are shown separately.

These flights are homogeneously distributed over a sequence of 5000 flights. A indicates a group of 118 flights.

The 42 groups A consist of a random sequence of:

91 flights type F

301 flights type G

858 flights type H

3165 flights type J 543 flights type K Table 6 Diagrammatic picture of the sequence of the various flights in 5000 flights



Table 7 Crack propagation records of the flight-simulation tests, Values of An in numbers of flights.

- First column: crack length interval.

- First and second line: Test series No. and Specimen No. A dash indicates that the two specimens were tested in series, Arithmetrical mean values of in are given in the last columns of the test series. The two bottom values in these columns are the arithmet ical and the geometrical mean values of the crack propagation lives (1 = 10-80 mm).

1,-1,1	3	4	5	6	7		S		9			10		
( <b></b> )	B21	<b>39</b> 0	B50	B4 1	A2	<b>A4</b> 7	A1	Mean	B2	B20	/ ь89	B22	/B/1	Mean
10-12 12-14 14-16 16-18 18-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 10-80	584 748 668 708 706 1800 1762 1368 947 342	668 390 392 359 316 328 428 936 302 129 45	407 304 301 223 212 596 408 350 223 78 52	366 229 208 176 162 305 225 200 184 85 44 16	1631 1999 1862 1723 1496 3270 1892 1390 675 342 28	951 848 705 585 517 989 883 455 271 141 69	783 1141 786 524 319 165 64	951 848 705 585 650 1065 835 480 295 153 67	589 764 1027 909 973 3151 2569 1789 1073 338 49	452 642 735 716 818 1805 1568 1106 535 127	661 652 688 657 781 1826 1561 1089	591 566 657 594 658 1718 1572 1177 736 339 74	602 725 717 701 785 1795 1624 1076 484	577 646 699 667 761 1795 1581 1112 585 233 74
10-80	9617	4800	3075	2205	16308	6240	6793	6516 6511	13406	8568	8641	8716	8956	8720 8719

1 <sub>1</sub> -1 <sub>1+1</sub>				11					12					13		
· (mm)	1867	/ B24	B42	В4,	/B53	Mean	B27/	B76	B47/	<b>199</b> 6	Mean	B25	5/ <b>B</b> 60	<b>B4</b> 5	/894	Mean
10-12 12-14 14-16 16-18 18-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 40-50 50-55	1227 448 452 397 1020 902 834 181 86 64 24	556 571 545 416 359 1113 933 750	632 606 474 362 424 911 785 424 136 44	612 587 585 502 474 1088 895 701 345 109 51	575 700 625 546 486 1253 994 631	594 616 535 456 428 1077 927 600 317 110 53	1444 1594 1332 1005 875 1440 523 370 245 103 58	1236 1580 1390 1198 958 1629	1787 1621 1 1448 1 1269 1052 1358 736 400 230 108	1845 2982 1262 1100 1795 891 404	1578 1598 1390 1184 996 1556 717 391 238 106 58	819 912 1064 1253 1167 3274 2570	548 863 1081 1282 1187 3345 2427 1217 585 254 120 27	912 891 918 1179 1275 3442 2959	588 777 887 1051 1270 3028 2592 1655 664 295	717 861 988 1191 1225 3272 2637 1436 625 275 80
10_80	5637	5600	5809	6001	6437	5897 5889	9010	9311	10089	11182	9898 9863	13269	12943	14298	12915	13356 13329

1 <sub>i</sub> -1 <sub>i+1</sub>			14						15						16		
( <b>==</b> )	B4(	)/B69	BB/	B77	Mean	B88	/B39	<b>B</b> 68	/B15	B5/1	B54	kean	B57	/B28	B46	3/B91	Mean
10-12 12-14 14-16 16-18 18-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55	611 591 659 651 786 1994 1943 1374 749 168	733 778 721 687 762 2230 1825 1351 565	657 712 750 713 811 1985 1765 1239	552 710 733 673 723 1812 1634 1210 654 227 80	640 698 716 681 773 2005 1792 1294 656 198	514 475 387 395 346 863 763 475 183 104 37	625 429 440 397 364 950 848	650 500 479 429 395 900 841 521 203 82 47	470 486 432 503 334 674 797 545 227	558 448 414 1123 1027	752 581 573 405 449 1115 877 487 206 81 38	602 494 477 430 384 971 859 507 205 89 41	435 379 328 282 309 587 466 267 197 71	472 443 317 343 299 627 751 156 94	436 452 342 319 289 624 825 134 63 40	503 405 364 337 278 621 } 887	462 420 338 320 294 615 509 291 157 76 38
10-80	9583	10061	9624	9019	9572 9565	4555	4865	5047	4738	5685	5583	5079 5062	3390	3571	3541	3656	3540 3538

1 <sub>i</sub> -1 <sub>i+1</sub>			17					- 18				19				20		
( <b></b> )	B30	/B73	B1 C	/B59	Mean	B4 3	/B92	B29,	/B78	Mean	B51/	в85	Kean	B7 2,	/B23	B3/	B52	Mean
10-12 12-14 14-16 16-18 18-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55	417 316 234 237 202 402 359 151 128	322 274 248 231 161 392 317 184 121 66 40 8	359 342 168 417 413 337	345 222 219 174 194 299 288 193 133 65 21	361 289 217 214 186 377 325 176 127 66 31	810 773 771 893 591 1571 1020 371 203	810 770 810 845 566 1278 917 554 323 112 34	927 846 849 759 1880 675 405 159 89 34	979 808 811 758 2054 1078	810 814 579 1425	2048 2418 2247 1765 5200 2774 940 370	2776 2534 2400 2820 1746 4919 2937	2591 2309 2409 2534 1756 5060 2856 940 370	1660 1770 1576 1162 902 1125 807 254 185 85	1628 1802 1121 1055 925 1455 773 381 211 92	2016 1681 1219 1141 991 1335 675 310 219 131 43	1945 1608 1418 1239 936 1605 749 218	1812 1715 1334 1149 939 1380 751 291 205 103 43
10-80	2565	2369	2461	2165	2390 2385	7159	7029	6649	7200	7009 7006	20552	21826	21189 21179		9532	9872	10214	97 <b>8</b> 3 9779



1,-1,1	21				55			23			24					25		
(ma)	481	A24	A84,	/A43	A99	/44	Mean	A48	Aó	3/A6	A22,	/A79	Mean	A12	<b>/</b> 469	A35	/485	Kean
10-12 12-14 14-16 16-18 18-20 20-25	1790 2150 2004 1848 1548 2829	1127 1019 950 1748	1466 1450 1234 1211 906 1940	1369 1256 1070 2119	1267 1357 1402 1108 1023 2073	1237 1473 1482 1280 1084 2376	1365 1402 1323 1175 1007 2051	3093 4893 3839 3 <b>6</b> 08 3122	3117 2801 2300 2117 1792 3427	2114 2261 3805	2916 3132 2817 2255 1871 3672	3436 3297 2667 2426 1842 3797	3249 3063 2638 2228 1942 3675	1706 3365 3420 3205 2615 4573	2993 3444 2858 2541 4362	2194 3336 2946 2899 2127 3980	1881 3727 3804 3383 2430 4635	4388
25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55	1848 1067 502 216 110	1233 816 367 193 37	1259 784 361 141 33	1406 852 - - -	1407 802 404 181 -	1453	1352 814 377 172 35		2070 1094 319 145 43	-	2156 1005 383 158 35	2233	2153 1050 351 152 39	2838 - - - -	2418 1474 657 170 84	2407 1194 613 }311		2554 1334 635 170 84 14
10-80	15921	10427	10808	11189	11112	10860	10879 10 <b>8</b> 76	31000	19236	21196	20405	21284	20530 20513	24126	22683	22034	24410	23313 23292

1 <sub>1</sub> -1 <sub>1+1</sub>			26					27					28		
(mm)	A11,	/A68	A34,	/A91	Mean	A78,	/A28	<b>A</b> 5,	/A100	Mean	A31/	884	A8/	A65	Mean
10-12 12-14	1896 2231	1440 2306	1599 1915	1816 2267	1688 2180	1542 1352	1404	1381 1549	1665 1 <b>542</b>	1498	1177 973	1202	1201 1053	1210 977	119B 1003
14-16 16-18	2170 1785	2197 1996	2010 1873	2205 1875	2146 1882	1260	1391	1466 1146	1403	1380	832 812	898 750	967 713	839 742	884 754
18-20 20-25	1601 3063	1647 3311	1487 2502	1493 2725	1557 2900	955 1968	1117 2130	1197	1046 2218	1079 2116	687 1226	684 1271	670 1235	639 1236	670 1242
25-30 30-35	1874 1137	1957 1115	1670 10 <b>2</b> 1	1788	1822	1370 817	1482 855	1447 913	1445 870	1436 864	953 594	982 622	915 570	921 565	943 588
35-40 40-45	633 194	519	42 <b>8</b> 187	-	527 191	441 193	:	469 216	423 203	444 204	301 146	334	262 120	306 147	306 138
45-50 50-55	60 36	-	50 -	-	55 36	63 29	-	81 26	-	72 28	70 12	-	40 -	61 -	57 12
10-80	16685	167 <b>8</b> 3	14798	15915	16045 16025	11144	11736	12056	12217	11788 11781	7788	7984	7809	7676	7814 7813

1 <sub>i</sub> -1 <sub>i+1</sub>			29					30			l		31	
(mm)	<b>≜</b> 33	/ <b>A9</b> 0	A1C	/467	Kean	<b>A</b> 9,	<b>A</b> 66	<b>A32</b>	/A89	Hean	A82	<b>A</b> 3,	/A104	Near
10_12	904	997	949	889	935	1982	2288	1733	1595	1900	2690	3105	3848	
12-14	736	7 <b>89</b>	763	769	764	1899	1939	1791	1859	1872	4657	4387	5367	
14-16	628	658	649	656	648	1741	1902	1581	1506	1683	4073	4078	4217	4123
16-18	567	592	593	664	604	1547	1424	1574	1370	1479	3460	3660	4124	3748
18-20	490	450	527	506	493	1281	1312	1224	1215	1258	2852	3513	3549	3305
20-25	891	880	900	916	897	2508	2583	2453	2260	2451	5739	6133	6275	6050
25-30	580	620	557	654	603	1732	1742	1639	1512	1656	3425	4213	3926	3855
30-35	380	_	384	393	386	981	977	•	912	957	1854	2090	•	1972
35-40	266	_	254	-	260	527	485	-	364	459	642		-	642
40-45	131	-	124	-	128	-	188	-	170	179	206	976	_	206
45-50	57	-	53	_	55	l .	61	_	73	67	49	97		73
50-55		-	15	-	15	-	21		-	21	26		-	26
10-80	5661	5849	5767	5898	5794 5793	14470	14924	13536	12858	13947 13924	29482	32249	34466	32066 32000

1 <sub>1</sub> -1 <sub>1+1</sub>		-	32					33					34		
(mm)	A42,	A83	<b>A</b> 6 0,	/A26	Nean	<b>B</b> 1.	4/B84	В3	5/1861	Mean	B1 2	2/B55	B46	/875	Xean
10-12 12-14 14-16 16-18 18-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55	2823 3153 2511 2166 1950 3685 2405 760 550 180	2554 3141 2725 2314 2035 3765 2460 1020	2141 2907 2680 2400 2105 3675 2518 1202 444 149 72 31	2740 3265 2737 2503 2005 4177 2693	2565 3117 2663 2346 2024 3826 2519 994 497 165 61	593 821 939 1048 1299 3188 2493 2036 828	781 1056 1043 1386 1514 2958 3800	719 904 1070 1035 1254 3696 2823 1989 833 228	745 1051 1029 1196 1377 3815 2520 1741 910	710 958 1020 1166 1361 3414 2909 1922 857 228 110	505 575 404 456 351 966 826 593 212 74	568 527 490 414 436 1035 837	500 413 430 402 389 945 815 747	488 479 436 397 375 955 809 538 225	515 499 440 417 388 975 822 626 219 93
10_80	20170	20571		22021	20772 20759	13534	15614	14710	14833	14673 14670	5045	5269	5052	4886	5063 5061



1 <sub>1</sub> -1 <sub>1+1</sub>			35					36					37		
(mm)	B18	<b>/æ</b> 66	B33	/B82	Hean	B16/	B63	B31/	B83	Mean	A16,	/A94	A40,	<b>/A</b> 71	Hear
10-12	352	331	351	302	334	1135	1306	1079	1090	1153	2848	2458	2990	2115	
12-14	257	236	229	253	244	1105	1261	1079	1094	1135	4394	5024	4463	3230	
14-16	218	210	226	219	218	1359	1177	1016	1037	1147	3874	4434	4675	3502	
16-18	201	212	187	205	203	1103	1188	1072	1140	1126	3148	3787	3756	2850	
18-20	160	166	174	162	166	943	1142	773	945	951	2542	<b>29</b> 60	2710	2682	
20-25	349	326	380	360	354	1855	1596	1473	1674	1650	4373	4695	-	4109	4392
25-30	312	303	235	263	278	890	1126	915	830	. 940	2558	2615	-	2257	2477
30-35	215	197	196	201	202	461	-	750	-	606	1200	-	-	1505	
35-40	-	137	120	122	126	-	•	232		232	633	-	-	612	
40-45	-	49	51	-	50	l -	-	97	-	97	189	-	-	149	169
45-50	-	21	22		22	l -	-	53	-	53	_ `	-	-	-	-
50-55	-	11	9		10	٠ ا		-	-	-	-	-			-
10-80	2289	2170	2186	2179	2206 2205	9271	9667	8161	8660	8940 8921	25856	28092	27418	23203	26142 26072

1,-1,1			38					39					40		
(man)	A13,	/A70	A29/	/A86	Иеал	A14	/A64	A53	/A87	Mean	A17,	/A95	A41,	/A72	Mean
10-12	1370	1364	1558	1492	1446	790	851	940	799	845	2627	2869	3292	3684	
12-14 14-16	1438	1496 1654	1468	1370 1237	1443	766 619	737 613	813 560	694 664	753 614	2961 2667	2858 2742	3102 3128	2751 2843	2918
16-18	698	883	1112	1253	987	560	525	497	527	527	1958	2226	2115	2524	2206
18-20	1033	1057	<b>9</b> 57	1066	1028	476	468	436	445	456	1897	1916	2037	1843	1923
20-25	2070	2043	2015	2063	2048	802	856	879	890	857	3557	3640	3752	3744	3673
25-30	1496	1434	1266	1400	1399	474	597	611	547	557	2025	2224	2344	2158	2188
30.35	888	835	781	878	846	416	412	406	337	393	984	-	1110	1033	1042
35-40	-	455	355	-	405	253	219	-	234	235	408	-	439	443	430
40-45	-	158	176	-	167	93	-	-	107	100	159	•	-	161	160
45-50	-	46	56	-	51	57	~	-	42	50	40	-	-	55	48
50-55			21		21	25		-	17	21		-		24	24
10-80	11572	11423	11101	11371	11 <b>36</b> 7 11365	5384	5445	5546	5307	5421 5420	19290	20071	21356	21278	20499 20480

_	41			42		-			43					44		
1 <sub>1</sub> -1 <sub>1+1</sub> (mm)	A59	B17	/B79	B32	/B64	Mean	A18,	/A96	A36/	A73	Mean	B9/	B58	в26	/ <b>B8</b> 6	Mean
10-12	1509	522	577	460	591	538	1594	1630	1431	1507	1541	797	594	786	771	737
12-14	2237	463	492	409	488	463	1214	1626	1330	1321	1373	720	682	703	670	694
14-16	2376	463	430	393	415	425	1292	1453	1341	1363	1362	655	630	548	651	621
16-18	2145	406	439	380	433	415	924	1285	1204	1009	1106	663	560	557	566	587
18-20	1826	379	368	367	327	360	942	1164	946	994	1012	637	599	535	546	579
20-25	3565	842	944	701	846	833	1986	2173	1894	1950	2001	1349	1382	1184	1304	1305
25-30	2362	743	847	680	782	763	1349	-	1472	1336	1386	1074	1015	1014	1082	1046
30-35	1316	643	643	555	-	614	821	~	867	882	857	923	956	856	962	924
35-40	655	372	-	261	-	316	368	-	382	422	391	713	706	649	682	688
40-45	237	102	-	93	-	98	159	•	150	-	155	l -	-	175	-	175
45-50	136	27	-	28	•	28	64	-	58	-	61	l -	-	<del>9</del> 1	-	91
50-55	36	-	-	28	-	28	21	-	<b>-</b> _		21	<u> </u>		-		<u>l -</u>
10-80	18413	<b>49</b> 75	5254	4359	4861	4862 4851	10737	12116	10941	11002	11199 11184	7921	7514	7127	75 <b>29</b>	7523 7518

1 <sub>i</sub> -1 <sub>i+1</sub>			45					46					47		
(mm)	A45,	/ <b>A1</b> 01	A30/	/A93	Mean	B37,	/B56	B11/	/B74	Mean	A19,	/A7,4	A37,	/497	Heaz
10-12	2451	2576	2861	3083	2748	2394	2550	2384	2135	2366	6337 6089	8573 4672	5516	5980 5668	6602 5588
12-14 14-16	2092 2128	2354 2219	2392 2251	2498 2242	2334 2210	1980	2320 2150	2027 2180	2125 2210	2113 2191	4780	4741	5 <b>924</b> 4701	4317	4635
16-18	1861	1914	1930	2093	1950	1827	2162	1765	1726	1870	4179	4353	4138	3869	4135
18-20 20-25	1740 3610	1745 3670	1802 3721	1812 3830		1508	1508 2340	1802	1296 1860	1529 2100	3700 6771	3839 6948	3498 6284	3110 6050	3537 6513
25-30	2664	2689	2640	277B	2693		1465	-	945	1205	2963	3523	3801	3025	3328
30-35	1716	1899	1810	1829	1814	-	493	-	619 339	556 338	1542 596	•	:	1369 447	1456
35-40 . 40-45	1010 456	-	994 490	:	473	:	337 139	:	204	172	203	:	-	233	218
45-50	115	-	139	-	127	-	81	-	87	84	81	•	-	71	76
50-55		-	54	_ :	54	<u> </u>	23		24	24	•				-
10-80	19870	20674	21121	21859	20881 20869	14916	15572	14239	13573	14575 14556	37266	39096	35 <b>99</b> 5	34152	3662 3658



## $\frac{\text{Table 8}}{\text{Values of $\Delta n$ in numbers of flights.}}$

First column: crack length interval First and second line: Test series No. and Specimen No. Mean values are arithmetical averages.

Material 7075-T6 Clad

1,-1 <sub>1+1</sub>		13a			15=				174		
(mm)	B44	/852	Mean	B36/	B49	Mean	<b>B8</b> 7/	<b>B9</b> 5	B6/	<b>B13</b>	Mean
5- 6	381	495	438	434	404	419	260	317	333	318	307
6- 7	590	509	550	432	408	420	250	285	274	236	261
7- 8	439	402	421	334	286	310	222	186	231	226	216
8- 9	425	430	428	264	270	267	162	183	194	191	183
9-10	386	353	370	263	317	290	185	182	185	214	192
10-12	761	737	749	417	409	413	224	240	266	224	239
12-14	819	744	782	398	480	439	204	202	232	211	212
14-16	1021	903	962	394	367	381	189	185	199	218	197
16-18	1009	1237	1123	331	375	353	137	140	161	145	146

Material 2024-T3 Alclad

MATERIAL		3 WILL	-						
1 1 1 1		25a			27a			29 <b>a</b>	
(mm)	<b>A4</b> 6/	A102	Mean	A23/	A76	Mean	<b>≜</b> 7,	457	Mean
6- 7 7- 8 8- 9 9-10 10-12 12-14	2354 2888 2697 2637 5175 3947	1663 2926 2658 2878 5047 4358	2008 2907 2677 2757 5111 4152	1446 1168 1059 954 1817 1448	1531 938 993 885 1681 1493	1489 1053 1025 920 1749 1470	932 712 649 568 948 738	1072 781 706 586 1016 829	1002 746 677 577 982 783

Table 9 Crack propagation records of the constant-amplitude tests. Values of Δn in cycles.
First column: Crack length interval. Second line: stress amplitude in kg/mm².
Third line:specimen No. Mean values are arithmetical averages.

						•												
				70	75-16	•			[.					2024-T3				
1 <sub>1</sub> -1 <sub>1+1</sub>		S2.2	2			S <sub>a</sub> =1.	1		5.8	\$ <sub>a</sub> = 6.6	S		S <sub>a</sub> =2.2			S	-1.1	
(848)	B19	/B7	Mean	156,	/B13	386	7 <b>89</b> 3	Nean	A61	A55	A54	A50/	A105	Cem.	A44	A7/	<b>/∆</b> 57	Mean
10_12	4941	5277	5109	-	-	56410	34168	45289	855	1795	4220	23700	21785	22743	247080	-	•	247080
12-14	3361	3320	3341	i -	-	21545	26035	23790	558	1470	3195	15700	18470	17085	155240	-	-	155240
14-16	2942	2708	2825	-	-	17200	19735	18468	338	1145	2790	14320	13590	13955	101735	-	-	101735
16-18	2396	2465	2431	-	-	12005	14495	13250	210	945	1930	11315	11880	11598	89740	73219	91344	84768
18-20	2230	2250	2240	-	-	12035	12405	12220	185	62C	1790	8920	10185	9553	70615	67695	59066	65792
20-25	4315	4475	4395	23600	19900	20205	23790	21874	240	1200	3040	17455	17215	17335	124945	112803	121636	119795
25-30	3165	3248	3207	16795	16000	14985	15443	15806	140	715	1855	12363	11765	12064	86805	76322	77489	80205
30-35	2645	2726	2686	11850	11250	11330	10838	11317	73	- 1	1140	8152	-	8152	52340	52143	51020	51834
35-40	2213	2121	2167	9085	8480	8555	7960	8520	22	- 1	645	5320	-	5320	39815	36475	-	38145
40-45	1506	1805	1656	۱ -	6160	6330	9555	6148	-	100	310	3333	-	3333	27 <b>2</b> 55	24760	-	26008
45+50	924	-	924	-	4370	4855	4105	4443	-	-	-	2127	-	2127	19190	17565	•	18378
50-55		-	-		3170	3200	<b>29</b> 55	3108			-	1100	-	1100	10430	11185	-	10806
10-80	31274	31955	31615	-	-	191951	180948	186450	2653	8626	21165	124306	125423	124865	1031470			1031470

Table 10 Crack propagation records for the 2024-T3 specimens with a central hole

1 ()				. 4	8					4	9	
1 (sum)	A	27 /	A	62	A	56 /	A1	10	A	20 /	A	75
12	21055	23542	19906	14560	19291	18604	19400	25858	18304	12285	19603	15698
14	23542	24980	21143	16869	20845	20279	2220 <del>6</del>	28078	19195	13903	20239	16914
16	26237	27347	23387	20000	22731	22280	25081	30073	20032	15432	21065	18522
18	28882	30078	25745	22543	-	24693	28052	32426	21025	-	22078	19868
20	31572	32219	27347	24835	27185	26722	30428	34271	22188	18904	22943	21025
25	_	_	31000	29805	31365	31086	34983	_	23856	21611	24863	23599
30	-	_	33666	32616	34247	33881	_	_	25188	23838	26057	25220
35	_	-	34950	34380	35571	35407	-	-	25882	25078	-	26311
40		_	35455	35276	36130	36 087	-	-	26204	25851	_	•
45	_	_	35534	35427	36328	36283	-	_	26307	26220	-	-
50	-	_	35594	35566	36385	36371	-	-	26339	26300	-	-
55	-	_	35615	35608	36394	36 388	-	-		26335	-	-
80	41422	41422	35617	35617	36396	36396	41792	41792		26354	27480	27480

1/>		4	9					- 5	ō			
1(==)	A	38 /	A	98	À	21 /	Å	77	A	15 /	Ä	92
12	14328	17458	13576	15842	15491	13976	12360	14980	14278	12700	11202	12654
14	15295	18548	15105	16644	16096	14614	13047	15421	14910	13520	11888	13160
16	16644	19488	16374	17627	16560	15311	13856	15834	15402	14252	12674	13653
18	18216	20326	17404	18600	16988	15918	14448	16194	15828	14880	13180	14044
20	19382	21223	18548	19495	173 <b>8</b> 2	16447	-	-	16243	15402	13738	14436
25	21793	23033	20802	21352	-	17492	16270	17145	-	-	14783	15153
3 C	-	-	22308	22740	-	-	17014	17492	-	-	15453	15657
35	_	_	23365	23574	-	-	17477	17736	-	-	15840	15954
40	-	_	23843	-	-	-	17718	17866	•	-	16057	16136
45	-	-	23960	24033	-	-	17858	17943	-	-	161 <i>8</i> 6	16227
50		2	24043	24051		-	179 36	17970	_	-	16243	16230
55		-			-	-	17965				10243	10230
80	25392	25392	24056	24056	19112	19112	17981	17981	18004	18004	16268	1626a

Values in the tables are numbers of flights as counted from the beginning of the test. For each Specimen two values are given, corresponding to the cracks at both midem of the hole. The first column given the crack length as measured from the center of the hole. First and second line: Test series No. and specimen No.



Table 11 Effect of taxiing loads

Values of stresses in kg/mm<sup>2</sup>

Test	conditio	ns	Crack propagation	life (flights) (a)	Life ratio
	Gust c	ycles	Taxiing lo	ads applied	
Material	Sa, max	Sa, min	yes (b)	no (b)	(yes/no)
7075	8.8	1.1	13406 (1)	13329 (4)	0.99
	7.7		8719 (4)	9565 (4)	1,10
	6.6		5 <b>889</b> (5)	5062 (6)	0 <b>.8</b> 6
	6.6	3.3	9 <b>8</b> 63 (4)	9779 (4)	0.99
2024	7.7	1.1	15921 (1)	16025 (4)	1.01
	6,6	1	10876 (5)	11781 (4)	1.08
	7.7	3.3	31000 (1)	32000 (3)	1.03
	6,6		20513 (4)	20759 (4)	1.01
				Average	1.01

- (a) Mean values drawn from table 7. The numbers between brackets indicate the number of tests carried out.
- the number of tests carried out.

  (b) In both cases  $S_{min}$  in the GTAC is equal to -3.4 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>. For the taxiing loads  $S_{m} + S_{a} = -2 + 1.4 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ .

 $\frac{\text{Table 12}}{\text{Values of stresses in kg/mm}^2} \stackrel{\text{Effect of the minimum stress in the GTAC}}{\text{Values of stresses in kg/mm}^2}$ 

Test	conditio	ns	Crack p	ropa			(flights	s) (a)	Life ratio
	Gust c	ycles	[ <u> </u>	S <sub>m</sub>	in in t	he GT	AC		
Material	Sa, max	Sa, min	-1,4	1		-3	•4		(-1.4/-3.4)
	]		(TL	)	(TL	.)	(No Tl	_)	
7075	7.7	1.1	9617	(1)	8719	(4)	9565	(4)	1.1
	6.6	1.1	4800	(1)	5889	(5)	5062	(6)	0.9
	5•5	1.1	3075	(1)	_		3538	(4)	0.9
	4.4	1.1	2714	(1)	-		2385	(4)	1,1
2024	ó.6	1.1	16308	(1)	10876	(5)	11781	(4)	1.4
	4.4	.1.1	6516	(2)	_		5793	(4)	1,1

(a) See table 11.

Table 13 Effect of omitting small gust loads

Values of stresses in kg/mm<sup>2</sup>

		Test conditi	ons	Crack y	oropa	gation	life	(flight	a) (a)	Life	ratio	s (b)
W-4:-3		Gusts										
Material	TL				Sa mi	n of th	e gus	t cycle	8			
		Sequence	Sa max	1.1		2.	2	3.	3	1.1	2,2	3.3
7075	yes	Random	6.6	58 <b>8</b> 9	(5)			9863	(4)	1		1.67
	no	į	7.7	9565	(4)			21179	(2)	1		2,21
İ	no	1	6.6	5062	(6)	7006	(4)	9779	(4)	1	1.38	1.93
ĺ	no	Programmed	6.6	5061	(4)			8921	(4)	1		1.76
2024	yes	Random	7.7	15921	(1)			31000	(1)	1		1.95
	no	•	6.6	10876	(5)			20513	(4)	1		1.89
!	no	1	7.7	16025	(4)			32000	(3)	1		2.00
ļ	no		6.6	11781	(4)	13924	(4)	20759	(4)	1	1.18	
	no	Programmed	6.6	11365	(4)	I		204 <b>3</b> 0	(4)	1	L	1.80

(a) See table 11.

(b) The life for  $S_{a,min} = 1.1 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  was taken as being 1.

spectrum	
truncating the gust	stresses in $kg/mn^2$
ä	of
Effect	Values
Table 14	

		Test co	Test conditions				5	rack pro	эраж	Crack propagation life (flights) (a)	e (f)	ights	(a)			1	Life ratios	atio	(q) g	
<b></b>	Lo in o + o y	Load		GTAC	Gusta			ທ <b>ື</b>	S. mex	of gust cycles	cyc.	es				S. max	ax of	grug :	of gust cycles	168
		sequence	loads	Smin	Smin Sa,min	8.8		7.7		9*9		5.5	•	4.4		8.8 7.7 6.6 5.5	7.7	9.9		4.4
<b></b>	7075	Random	yes	-1.4	1.1			(1) 2196	3	46∞ (1)	(1)	3075 (1)	Ξ	2714 (1)	(1)		2,00	1	0.64 0.57	0.57
				-3.4		13406	Ξ	8719	(4)	5889	(2)					2.28 1.48	1.48	-		
			ou	-3.4	1:	13329	(4)	9565	₹	5062	(9)	3538	₹	2385	(4)	(4) 2.63 1.89	1.89	-	0.70 0.47	0.47
					3.3		-	21179	<u>8</u>	9779	3					v	2.17	<b>~</b> -		
		Program	ou	-3.4	1.1	14670	(4)			5061	(4)			2205 (4) 2.90	(4)	2.90		+		0.44
<u>г                                    </u>	2024	Random	зөк	-1.4	1.1					16308 (1)	Ξ			6516	(2)			-		0.40
				-3.4				15921	Ξ	10876	(2)						1.46	-		
				-3.4	3.3			31000	Ξ	20513	(4)						1.51	-	, <u></u>	
			ou	-3.4		23292	<b>(4)</b>	16025	(4)	11781	3	7813	(4)	5793	(4)	(4) 1.98 1.36	1.36		0.66 0.49	0.49
					3.3			32000	$\widehat{\mathbb{S}}$	20759	<u>4</u>						1.54	-		
		Program	ou	-3.4	1.1	26072	(4)			11365	(4)			5420 (4) 2.29	(4)	2.29		-		0.48
_																				

(a) Mean values drawn from table 7. The numbers between brackets indicate the numbers of tests carried out.

(b) The life for Samax = 6.6 kg/mm was taken as being 1.



Table 15 Comparison between the random and the programmed flight simulation tests.

Values of stresses in kg/mm<sup>2</sup>. GTAC without GL.

Test	condition	ns	Crack pr	opag (fli	ation li ghts)	fe <sup>(a)</sup>	Life ratio
Material	Gust o	ycles Sa,max	Random g		Progra gust se		Programmed/Random
7075	1.1	8.8	13329	(4)	14670	(4)	1.10
		6.6	5062	(6)	5061	(4)	1.00
		4.4	2385	(4)	2205	(4)	0.92
	3.3	6.6	9779	(4)	8921	(4)	0.91
2024		8.8	23292	(4)	26072	(4)	1.12
		6.6	11781	(4)	11365	(4)	0.96
		4.4	5793	(4)	5420	(4)	0.94
	3.3	6,6	20759	(4)	20480	(4)	0.99
	<del></del>				Averag	e	0.99

(a) See table 16.

Table 16 Effects of reversing the gust cycles of applying one gust per flight and of omitting the GTAC.

Gust cycles in random sequence ( $S_{a,max} = 6.6 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ ). GTAC without TL

Characteristic test conditions (see also fig.3)	Sa, min of gusts (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )	C <b>rack</b> p	(fli	ation 1 ghts)		Relative propagat: 7075	e crack ion life(b) 2024
Standard random sequence	1.1	5062	(6)	11781	(4)	1	1
Reversed gust cycles	1.1	4851	(4)	11184	(4)	0.96	C.95
Small gusts omitted	2.2	7006	(4)	13924	(4)	1.38	1,18
	3.3	9779	(4)	20759	(4)	1.93	1.76
(nly one gust per flight(c)	-	14556	(4)	36583	(4)	2.88	3.10
GTAC omitted	1.1	7518	(4)	20869	(4)	1.49	1.77

<sup>(</sup>a) Mean values drawn from table 7. The numbers between brackets indicate the number of tests carried out.

<sup>(</sup>b) The life for the standard random sequence was taken as being 1.

<sup>(</sup>c) The largest positive gust load of each flight was applied.



# Table 17 Comparison between the two alloys Values of stresses in kg/mm<sup>2</sup>

Tes	t conditi	ons		Crack		gation	life a)	Life
Gust sequence	Taxiing loads	Gust c	ycles		(flig	hts) \	<b></b>	ratio
	TOMUS	Sa, min	Sa, max	707	5	202	4	(2024)/(7075)
Random	yes	1.1	7•7	8719	(4)	15921	(1)	1.8
			6.6	5889	(5)	10876	(5)	1.8
		3.3	6.6	9 <b>8</b> 63	(4)	20513	(4)	2.1
	no	1.1	8.8	13329	(4)	23292	(4)	1.7
			7.7	9565	(4)	16025	(4)	1.7
			6.6	5062	(6)	11781	(4)	2.3
			5•5	3538	(4)	7813	(4)	2.2
,	I		4.4	2385	(4)	5793	(4)	2.4
		2.2	6.6	7006	(4)	13924	(4)	2.0
		3.3	7.7	21179	(2)	32000	(3)	1.5
			6.6	9779	(4)	20759	(4)	2.1
		(b)	6.6	14556	(4)	36583	(4)	2.5
		1.1(c)	6.6(c)	4851	(4)	11184	(4)	2.3
Random, no GTAC	•	1.1	6.6	7518	(4)	20869	(4)	2.8
Programmed		1.1	8.8	1467C	(4)	26072	(4)	1.8
			6.6	5061	(4)	11365	(4)	2.2
			4.4	2205	(4)	5420	(4)	2.5
		3.3	6,6	8921	(4)	20480	(4)	2.3
						Averag	е	2.1

<sup>(</sup>a) Mean values drawn from table 7. The numbers between brackets indicate the numbers of tests carried out.

<sup>(</sup>b) Only one gust load (the largest one) per flight.

<sup>(</sup>c) Gust cycles in reversed sequence.



### Table 18 Damage calculations for test series No.45

Material: 2024-T3 Alclad

 $S_{a,max} = 6.6 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ 

 $s_{a,min} = 1.1 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ 

GTAC omitted

S <sub>a</sub> (kg/mm <sup>2</sup> )	1.1	2,2	3.3	4.4	5•5	6.6	7.7(a)	8.8(a)
n/N in 5000 flights(b)	0.145	0.312	0.115	0.077	0.016	0.006	0.003	0.002

(a) Not applied in test series No.45.

(b) n from table 5, N from fig. 15.

Sum of damage increments for  $S_a = 1.1 - 6.6$  is 0.808.

Predicted life :  $\frac{1}{0.808}$  = 5000 = 6188 flights


Test result corresponds to

Crack propagation life in tests = 20869 flights  $\sum_{N=3.4}^{n}$  = 3.4

### Table 19 Fatigue life reduction if small gust cycles are included. Comparison between tests and predictions.

M = crack propagation life with small gust cycles included. M' - crack propagation life without small gust cycles. The predicted M values have been calculated from M' and the constant-amplitude test data, see section


	Tes	t condit	ions	Small gust		M/M'	
Material	Taxiing	Sa, max	Load	cycles	(per	centage)	Ratio
	loads	•	sequence	Sa-values	test	predicted	test/predicted
7075	yes	6.6	Randon	1.1 and 2.2	60	20	3.0
	no	7.7	'		44	10	4.4
		6.6			52	20	2.6
		6,6	Programmed		47	22	2.1
		6.6	Random	1.1	72	47	1.5
2024	yes	7.7	Random	1.1 and 2.2	51	26	2.0
	·	6.6		:	53	35	1.5
	no	7.7			50	25	2.0
		6.6			57	35	1.6
		6.6	Programmed		55	35	1.6
		6.6	Random	1.1	85	71	1.2




Note: Each gust cycle consisted of a positive gust followed by a negative gust of equal amplitude (exept for tests with reversed gust cycles)


	Variables of test program ( see also fig. 3)
Gust load spectrum	S <sub>a, max</sub> (truncation) S <sub>a, min</sub> (omission of many small cycles)
GTAC	S <sub>min</sub> (2 values)
Taxiing loads	Omission of taxiing loads (same S <sub>min</sub> )
Flight profile	Omission of GTAC Only one gust cycle per <sup>c</sup> light
Sequence	Random Gust cycles in reversed sequence Programmed per flight
Material	2 Al - alloys , 2024 - T 3 and 7075 - T 6

FIG. 1 SURVEY OF VARIABLES STUDIED IN THE PRESENT TEST SERIES.












FIG. 5

PICTURE OF THE SPECIMEN, ANTI-BUCKLING GUIDES WITH WINDOW AND CLAMPINGS.

STEREO-MICROSCOPE (30 x) FOR CRACK OBSERVATION IN THE BACKGROUND.








FIG. 6 TWO SPECIMENS CONNECTED BY A DOUBLE STRAP JOINT, ANTI-BUCKLING GUIDES COVERED BY FELLT AT THE INNER SIDE AND PROVIDED WITH TWO WINDOWS EACH.





























FIG. 13 THE EFFECT OF OMITTING SMALL GUST LOADS ON THE CRACK PROPAGATION LIFE.










FIG. 15 THE CONSTANT-AMPLITUDE TEST DATA PLOTTED AS S-N CURVES





MATERIAL 2024 – T3 RANDOM GUSTS,  $S_{a, max} = 6.6$ ,  $S_{a, min} = 2.2$  GTAC WITHOUT TL,  $S_{min} = -3.4$ 

TEST SERIES	SPECIMEN
30 ●	small notch
49 <b>O</b>	central hole







FIG. 17 COMPARISON BETWEEN THE CRACK PROPAGATION RATES IN SPECIMENS WITH A SMALL NOTCH OR A CENTRAL HOLE



Programmed

Random

Random

2024-T3

Programmed

Sa, max = 8.8 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>


Sa, min = 1.1 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>

GTAC without TL,

Smin = -3.4 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>


Magnification 2 x
Central notch at right side of picture

Fig. 18 Fracture surfaces of 4 specimens showing macro fatigue bands.



Specimen B47, 7075-T6
Random flight simulation.

Sa, max =  $6.6 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ Sa, min =  $3.3 \text{ kg/mm}^2$ GTAC with TL l = 14 mm dl/dn =  $1.3 \mu/\text{flight}$ Magnification 5000 x



Specimen B18, 7075-T5
Programmed flight
simulation.
Sa, max = 4.4 kg/mm
Sa, min = 1.1 kg/mm
CTAC without TL
l= 20 mm dl/dn =
13, \( \mu/\)flight.
Magnification 5000 x

Fig. 19 Two examples of fatigue striations as observed by the electron microscope



		overall report is classified)
	Unclassi	
26.	GROUP	
	·—·	
MATERIALS UNDI	ER FLIGHT	r-simulation loading
ov. 1968		
,		
74. TOTAL NO. OF PA	AGES	76. NO. OF REFS
	EPORT NUMB	ER(5)
NLR Tech.Rep	port 6811	7
9b. OTHER REPORT N this report)	NO(\$) (Any oth	er numbers that may be assigned
C RELEASE AND	SALE ;	
12. SPONSORING MILI	TARY ACTIV	†TY
Wright-Patte		namics Laboratory((FDTI) 3,
coad spectrum we con is essentially tests were contests with a contest the ground-to the gust cycle a significant the small amplitude in the crack propagately the larges Comission of the sible mechanisms and damage can explications for the propagation of the contest of the co	was adopt ally conceonducted variety on air cycles in a fit influent itudes synant effer action lifest upward the ground halysis of sms for italiculation or testing data fo	eed and a flight-by- eerned with macro- l on the crack nuclea- of loading programs eles did not affect light (random, pro- ace on the crack estematically in- ect on the crack in the test. Increasing e. (5) Application in l gust load, increased ad-to-air cycle in- of the results include interaction effects ons. The conclusions ag procedures to be or fail-safe considera-
	MATERIALS UNDI  TOTAL NO. OF P.  74  SE. ORIGINATOR'S RE  NLR Tech. Rep  *** OFFICE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	MATERIALS UNDER FLIGHT  ov. 1968  74  Se. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMB  NLR Tech. Report 6811  Seb. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any offic report)  CC RELEASE AND SALE;  12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIV  Air Force Flight Dyn  Wright-Patterson AFF  Ohio 45433  There carried out on she oad spectrum was adopt on is essentially conce  by tests were conducted tests with a variety the ground-to-air cyc the gust cycles in a first assignificant influent the small amplitudes sy most predominant effet tamplitude included in crack propagation lift tely the largest upward Common of the ground  consider the maximum load lessenger of the maximum load lessenger of the maximum load lessenger  one the maximum lessenger  one

DD . FORM .. 1473

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

p.t.o.



Security Classification LINK C KEY WORDS ROLE WT ROLE ROLE WT Fatigue Crack propagation Random loads Flight-simulation loading Full-scale fatigue test Gust loads Taxiing loads Ground-to-air cycle Aluminum alloys

Security Classification					